

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

L1

FILE: [REDACTED]  
MSC-06-102-12295

Office: LOS ANGELES

Date: APR 23 2009

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

John F. Grissom  
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the Director, Los Angeles. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because she found three independent basis of ineligibility: the evidence submitted with the application was insufficient to establish that the applicant continuously resided in the United States for the entire relevant period; the applicant submitted final court dispositions indicating that he was convicted of three misdemeanors; and the applicant indicated in his October 5, 2006 interview that he departed the United States for two months beginning in December 1986. In accordance with the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 245a.1(c)(1)(i), the applicant is ineligible for adjustment to temporary residence because of this absence. Noting each independent grounds of denial, the director denied the application on April 12, 2007.

On appeal, the applicant indicates that he has established his eligibility for the benefit sought. He fails to submit any additional evidence or explanation which would establish his entry to the United States in an unlawful status prior to January 1, 1986 or his continuous residence in the United States for the duration of the requisite period, or which would address the grounds of denial indicated by the director.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth three independent and legitimate grounds for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.