

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529 - 2090



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

**PUBLIC COPY**

41



FILE:



Office: NEW YORK

Date:

**SEP 02 2009**

MSC 06 091 13173

MSC 07 333 13354 – Appeal

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of  
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. Grissom".

John F. Grissom  
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, or *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004, (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements) was denied by the Director, New York, New York. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected and the file will be returned to the director for further action and consideration.

The director determined that the applicant has not established that he is eligible for class membership pursuant to the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements. The director concluded that on this basis the applicant is ineligible for temporary resident status and denied the application.

On appeal, counsel for the applicant submits a brief.

Under the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements, if the director finds that an applicant is ineligible for class membership, the director must first issue a notice of intent to deny, which explains any perceived deficiency in the applicant's Class Member Application and provide the applicant 30 days to submit additional written evidence or information to remedy the perceived deficiency. Once the applicant has had an opportunity to respond to any such notice, if the applicant has not overcome the director's finding then the director must issue a written decision to deny an application for class membership to both counsel and the applicant, with a copy to class counsel. The notice shall explain the reason for the denial of the application, and notify the applicant of his or her right to seek review of such denial by a Special Master. *See* CSS Settlement Agreement paragraph 8 at page 5; Newman Settlement Agreement paragraph 8 at page 7.

On June 21, 2007, the director issued a Notice of Intent to Deny (NOID) the application. The director found that the applicant had not established that he had applied for CSS/Newman class membership and afforded the applicant 30 days to respond to the NOID. The applicant responded to the NOID on July 17, 2007. On August 8, 2007, the director issued a Notice of Decision (NOD) denying the application because the applicant had not overcome the basis for denial of the application as stated in the NOID. The director determined that the applicant did not qualify for CSS/Newman class membership and instructed the applicant to appeal the decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) by filing a Form I-694, Notice of Appeal.

The director's instruction for the applicant to appeal the decision to the AAO is in error and is withdrawn. Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(p), the AAO has jurisdiction over the denial of an Application for Temporary Resident Status under section 245A of the Act. Here, the application was denied based on the applicant's failure to establish Class Membership under the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements. Therefore, the AAO is without authority to review the denial of the application. The CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements stipulate that an applicant should be notified of his or her right to seek review of the denial of his Class Membership Application by a Special Master.

Since the AAO is without authority to review the denial of the application, the appeal must be rejected, despite the fact that the director stated an appeal could be filed. However, the director is not constrained from reopening the matter *sua sponte* pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(q).

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected and the file is returned to the director for further action and consideration pursuant to the above.