


**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

PUBLIC COPY



Lr

FILE:

MSC 03 247 60184

Office: DALLAS

Date:

APR 25 2008

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The District Director, Dallas, denied the application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will summarily dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant had failed to establish that he satisfied the “basic citizenship skills” requirement under section 1104(c)(2)(E) of the LIFE Act. The director provided the applicant two opportunities to pass the English literacy and/or the United States history and government tests. The applicant failed to pass the tests or submit relevant evidence as described in the regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17.

On appeal, the applicant did not allege any legal or factual error in the director’s decision and did not submit additional documents, he simply stated:

I need more time to learn the Eng[li]sh.

Any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv). A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence and has not addressed the basis for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility