

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

L2

FILE: [REDACTED]
MSC 01 278 60030

Office: NEW YORK, NEW YORK

Date: FEB 26 2009

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), *amended by* LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been forwarded to the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services National Records Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, the record of proceedings was returned to the office that originally issued a decision in your case, and you will be contacted.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "John F. Grissom".

John F. Grissom, Acting Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the director, New York, New York. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to submit credible evidence to establish that he had resided continuously in the United States throughout the statutory period as required under section 1104(c)(2)(B) of the LIFE Act.

On appeal, the applicant indicated that in denying the application, the director abused her discretion and did not properly consider the evidence submitted. The applicant did not allege any legal or factual error in the director's decision and did not submit additional evidence. As of the date of this decision, no additional evidence has been submitted. The AAO will consider the record complete.

Any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv). A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence and has not addressed the basis for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

The record indicates that during 1991 the applicant was cited in New York, New York for having an expired car registration, and that the subsequent case was dismissed. No further information related to this matter is included in the record. This dismissed case does not affect the applicant's eligibility for the benefit sought in this matter.

The AAO also notes that on the Form G-325A, Biographic Information, which you signed on October 7, 1992, you indicated that your address from September 1986 through October 1992 was in Dakar, Senegal.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.