

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

**PUBLIC COPY**

**U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090**



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

L2

FILE:

Office: SEATTLE

Date:

**MAR 24 2011**

IN RE:

Applicant:

APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), *amended by* Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the director of the Seattle office, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal.<sup>1</sup> The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application, finding that the applicant is ineligible for adjustment to permanent resident status under the LIFE Act because he has not established by a preponderance of the evidence that he had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status for the duration of the requisite time period. More specifically, the director denied the application based upon inconsistencies in the applicant's testimony regarding the addresses where he resided in the United States during the requisite statutory period.

On appeal, counsel asserts that the evidence which the applicant previously submitted establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that he continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status for the duration of the requisite time period. Counsel states that he will submit a brief within 30 days. Counsel has not submitted a brief on appeal. The applicant has not submitted any additional evidence on appeal.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. §103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not addressed the grounds stated for denial, nor has he presented additional evidence relevant to the grounds for denial or the stated reason for appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.

---

<sup>1</sup> The AAO notes that the applicant erroneously submitted a Form I-694, Notice of Appeal, instead of a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal. The AAO accepts the applicant's appeal on Form I-694.