

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

M1



FILE:




Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date: MAY 11 2005

[LIN 03 047 50247]

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish that he was eligible for late registration. The director also found that the applicant had failed to establish his qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the requisite periods.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that he is eligible for late registration and that he has submitted sufficient evidence to show his continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States.

The director denied the application for Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254, because the applicant failed to establish he was eligible for late registration.

On appeal, the applicant infers that if an applicant properly files an application for TPS during the initial registration period, he is eligible at any time thereafter.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, "register" means "to properly file, with the director, a completed application, with proper fee, for Temporary Protected Status during the registration period designated under section 244(b) of the Act."

The record reveals that the applicant did file an initial application for TPS during the initial registration period. That application was denied for abandonment. That application was denied on December 6, 2001, for failure to respond to a request for evidence to establish his eligibility for TPS. Since the application was denied due to abandonment there was no appeal available; however, the applicant could have filed a request for a motion to reopen within 30 days from the date of the denial. The applicant did not file a motion to reopen during the requisite timeframe.

The applicant filed a subsequent Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on November 6, 2002. The director denied this second application because it was filed outside of the initial registration period and because the applicant had failed to establish his eligibility for filing under the provisions of late registration.

The applicant's initial Form I-821 was properly filed on April 5, 2001. That initial application was denied by the director on December 6, 2001. Any Form I-821 application subsequently submitted by the same applicant after an initial application is filed and a decision rendered, must be considered as either a request for annual registration or as a new filing for TPS benefits.

If the applicant is filing an application as a re-registration, a previous grant of TPS must have been afforded the applicant, as only those individuals who are granted TPS must register annually. In addition, the applicant must continue to maintain the conditions of eligibility. 8 C.F.R. § 244.17.

The applicant filed a subsequent Form I-821 on November 6, 2002. Since the initial application was denied on December 6, 2001, the subsequent application cannot be considered as a re-registration. Therefore, this application can only be considered as a late registration.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state is eligible for TPS only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national of a state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) Registers for Temporary Protected Status during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or
 - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
 - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or
 - (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.
- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The phrase continuously physically present, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The phrase continuously resided, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by CIS. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The first issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant is eligible for late registration.

The initial registration period for Salvadorans was from March 9, 2001, through September 9, 2002. The record reveals that the applicant filed his application with the Immigration and Naturalization Service, now Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS), on November 6, 2002.

On March 28, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing his eligibility for late registration as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The applicant was also requested to submit evidence establishing his qualifying residence and physical presence in the United States. The applicant failed to respond to the director's request for evidence.

The director determined that the applicant had failed to establish that he was eligible for late registration and denied the application on July 25, 2003.

On appeal, it appears that the applicant is implying that he is eligible for late registration under 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2)(ii) since he "was granted a protected status and permission to work with employment authorization card [REDACTED]. However, the record indicates that the applicant's work authorization was approved, not his TPS application. To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that he was eligible for late registration during the initial registration period. The record indicates that the first TPS application was denied for abandonment on December 6, 2001; therefore, the applicant should have filed a second TPS application prior to the expiration of the initial registration period, which ended September 9, 2002, to be considered for such status. Although the applicant's employment authorization didn't expire until September

9, 2002, this temporary benefit granted during the pendency of the first TPS application did not infer any lawful status upon the applicant sufficient to meet regulatory requirements for eligibility.

The applicant submitted evidence on appeal in an attempt to establish his qualifying residence and physical presence in the United States. However, this evidence does not mitigate the applicant's failure to file his Application for Temporary Protected Status within the initial registration period. The applicant has not submitted any evidence to establish that he has met any of the criteria for late registration described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Consequently, the director's conclusion that the applicant had failed to establish his eligibility for late registration will be affirmed.

The second issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant has established his continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, or his physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001.

As stated above, the applicant was requested on March 28, 2003, to submit evidence establishing his qualifying residence and physical presence in the United States. The applicant failed to respond to the director's request for evidence.

The director determined that the applicant had failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish his eligibility for TPS and denied the application on July 25, 2003.

On appeal, the applicant reasserts his claim and submits the following documentation:

1. An employment verification letter signed by [REDACTED] and dated June 30, 2003;
2. A residency verification letter signed by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] and dated August 4, 2003;
3. A verification of medical fitness approval notice issued by the Department of Public Safety Driver license Division and dated February 15, 2002 with an attachment;
4. An AFEX money express information sheet dated December 30, 2002;
5. A statement from Wells Fargo Bank dated October 7, 2002;
6. A letter from the Weber Midtown Dental Clinic stating that the applicant has been a patient with the clinic and was seen on June 26, 2002;
7. A Utah identification card issued to the applicant on January 18, 2002;
8. A copy of the applicant's Employment Authorization Card that is valid from May 23, 2001 to September 9, 2002; and
9. A single pay stub from Lofthouse Foods, Inc. listing the applicant as employee and show wages paid for the period ending December 15, 2001.

The applicant has not submitted sufficient credible evidence to establish his qualifying continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, or his continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001. Neither the employment verification letter nor the residency verification letter are supported by any corroborating evidence such as rent receipts or pay stubs covering the period in question. Further, all other evidence submitted fails to document the applicant's continuous presence or residency in the United States during the initial registration period. He has, therefore, failed to establish that he has met the criteria described in

8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for TPS on these grounds will also be affirmed.

An alien applying for TPS has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.