



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

MI

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED]
[WAC 05 228 85975]

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: JAN 17 2007

IN RE: Applicant:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


for Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed his initial TPS application on June 19, 2003, under Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) receipt number SRC 03 184 55215. The Director, Texas Service Center, denied that application on April 9, 2004, because the applicant failed to establish his continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period. On May 11, 2004, the applicant filed an appeal from the denial decision. The Director (now Chief), AAO, dismissed that appeal on May 4, 2005.

The applicant filed the current Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on May 16, 2005, and indicated that he was re-registering for TPS.

The director denied the re-registration application because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

On appeal, the applicant states that he would send a brief and/or evidence within 30 days. To date, there has been no further correspondence from the applicant or counsel. Therefore, the record must be considered complete. The applicant fails to make any other statement or claim or provide any additional evidence.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

Inasmuch as the applicant has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.