


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



MI

FILE: [REDACTED]
[WAC 05 216 76543]

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: MAR 05 2007

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed a TPS application during the initial registration period on June 20, 2001, under Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) receipt number SRC 01 208 54178. The Director, Texas Service Center, denied that application on December 31, 2003, because the applicant failed to submit requested court documentation relating to his criminal record. There is nothing in the record to indicate that the applicant appealed the director's decision.

The applicant filed the current Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on May 4, 2005, and indicated that he was re-registering for TPS.

The director denied the re-registration application because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

On appeal, the applicant states that he does not know why his application was denied. The applicant also submits evidence in an attempt to establish his qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States.

If the applicant is filing an application as a re-registration, a previous grant of TPS must have been afforded the applicant; as only those individuals who are granted TPS must register annually. In addition, the applicant must continue to maintain the conditions of eligibility. 8 C.F.R. § 244.17.

In this case, the applicant is not a current TPS registrant. Therefore, he is not eligible to re-register for TPS. Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application will be affirmed.

It is noted that the director's decision does not explore the possibility that the applicant was attempting to file a late initial application for TPS instead of an annual re-registration.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant may apply for TPS during the initial registration period, or:

- (f) (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief

from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;

(iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

(iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The initial registration period for El Salvadorans was from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002. The record reveals that the applicant filed the current application with CIS on May 4, 2005.

To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period he fell within at least one of the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) above.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by CIS. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

On appeal, the applicant states that he does not understand why his application was denied. According to the applicant, he responded to the request for additional evidence and he was approved. The applicant also states that he never received a notice of decision. However, there is no evidence that the applicant provided the requested evidence within the required time. Furthermore, the notice was sent to the applicant's address of record. There is nothing in the record to indicate that the notice was returned to CIS by the U.S. Postal Service as undeliverable. Therefore, the applicant's failure to receive the notice is of his own making. According to the applicant, his initial TPS application was approved because he received employment authorization that was issued on October 3, 2003. However, the applicant incorrectly attributes the granting of employment authorization as approval of his TPS application. In fact, the applicant was granted employment authorization contingent on the approval of his TPS application. Once the TPS application was dismissed, the applicant was no longer eligible for this benefit.

The applicant has failed to provide any evidence to establish that this application should be accepted as a late initial registration under 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Therefore, the application also must be denied for this reason.

The record of proceeding reflects that on May 21, 2001, an immigration judge ordered the applicant removed from the United States to El Salvador. A Warrant of Removal/Deportation, Form I-205, was issued on July 21, 2001. It does not appear that the warrant was executed. However, it presents the possibility that the applicant was deported and returned to the United States, without permission contrary to section 212(a)(9) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act. Consequently, the applicant may not have maintained continuous residence or physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period pursuant to 8 C.F.R. §§ 244.2(b) and (c).

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.