

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

M1

MAY 21 2007

FILE: [REDACTED]  
[WAC 05 083 73959]

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center (CSC), and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed a first TPS application during the initial registration period under Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) receipt number SCR 01 179 56332. The Director, Texas Service Center (TSC), denied that application on December 30, 2004, due to abandonment because the applicant failed to respond to a request for additional information. After a review of the record, the Chief, AAO, concurs with the TSC director's denial decision.

The applicant filed the current Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on April 22, 2005, and indicated that she was re-registering for TPS.

The CSC director denied the re-registration application on August 16, 2005, because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS. The applicant filed her current appeal from that decision on September 8, 2005.

If the applicant is filing an application as a re-registration, a previous grant of TPS must have been afforded the applicant, as only those individuals who are granted TPS must register annually. In addition, the applicant must continue to maintain the conditions of eligibility. 8 C.F.R. § 244.17.

In this case, the applicant has not previously been granted TPS. Therefore, she is not eligible to re-register for TPS. Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application will be affirmed.

There is no indication that the applicant was attempting to file a late initial application for TPS instead of an annual re-registration. Moreover, there is no evidence in the file to suggest that the applicant is eligible for late registration for TPS under 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2).

It is noted that, although CIS has previously issued Employment Authorization Documents (EADs) to the applicant in error under alien registration number [REDACTED], the applicant's correct alien registration file number is [REDACTED]. A review of the alien registration file relating to [REDACTED] reveals that it belong to another person and contains no documentation relating to the applicant.

Beyond the decision of the director, the applicant has failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish her nationality and identity, as required under the provisions of 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a)(1). She has also failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish that she satisfies the continuous residence and continuous physical presence requirements described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c).

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for TPS has the burden of proving that he or she meets the

requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.