



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

MT

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: SEP 02 2009
[EAC 08 099 70398]

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration
and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the Vermont Service Center. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).


John F. Grissom
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on motion to reopen. The motion will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. §1254.

The director determined that the applicant failed to establish she: 1) had continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001; 2) had been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001; and 3) was eligible for late registration. The director, therefore, denied the application.

On motion, the applicant states that she has been in the United States since 1989 and requests that her file be rechecked very carefully. The applicant also submits evidence in an attempt to establish her qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state as designated by the Attorney General is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the *Federal Register*, or
 - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any

relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;

(iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

(iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

Continuously physically present, as defined in 8 C.F.R. §244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

Continuously resided, as defined in 8 C.F.R. §244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual, and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate that they have continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001, and that they have been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. Subsequent extensions of the TPS designation have been granted, with the latest extension granted until September 10, 2010, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite period.

The initial registration period for El Salvadorans was from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002. The record shows that the applicant filed this application on January 6, 2008.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The first issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant is eligible for late registration.

The record of proceeding confirms that the applicant filed her application after the initial registration period had closed. To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002, she fell within the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) (listed above). If the qualifying condition or application has expired or been terminated, the individual must file within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of the qualifying condition in order to be considered for the late initial registration. 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(g).

On April 22, 2008, the applicant was provided the opportunity to submit evidence establishing her eligibility for late registration as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The applicant was also requested to submit evidence establishing her nationality and identity, her continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and her continuous physical presence in the United States from March 9, 2001, to the filing date of the application. The applicant failed to respond to the notice. Therefore, the director denied the application as abandoned. A denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant or petitioner may file a motion to reopen within 30 days.

On motion, the applicant states that she hired an attorney who stole her money and did not apply for TPS and she did not have any additional money to continue with her TPS application at the time. The applicant also submits evidence in an attempt to establish her continuous residence and physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period. However, this does not mitigate the applicant's failure to file her TPS application within the initial registration period. The applicant also indicates that she is eligible for late initial TPS registration because she is married to a TPS-eligible alien. While United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) regulations may allow spouses of TPS beneficiaries to file their applications after the initial registration period had closed; in this case, USCIS records indicate that although the applicant's husband did apply for TPS, his application was denied. Therefore, the applicant can not establish eligibility for late initial registration in this manner. The applicant has not submitted sufficient evidence to establish that she has met any of the criteria for late registration described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Consequently, the director's conclusion that the applicant failed to establish her eligibility for late registration will be affirmed.

The second and third issues in this proceeding are whether the applicant has established her continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and her continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001.

As stated above, the applicant was requested on April 22, 2008 to submit evidence establishing her qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States. The applicant failed to respond to the notice.

The director concluded that the applicant had failed to establish her qualifying residence and physical presence in the United States during the requisite periods and denied the application. On motion, the applicant submits:

1. Copies of a MCI bill dated March 3, 2001; a Telecom USA bill dated April 12, 2001; a Columbia House bill dated May 10, 2001; a Sprint Bill dated

- July 4, 2001; and a Bank of America monthly statement for June 9, 2007 through July 10, 2007.
2. Copies of money transfer receipts dated March 23, 2001; March 13, 2006; and April 3, 2006.
 3. Copies of pay stubs dated from September 27, 2002 through January 20, 2006.
 4. Copies of an Instruction Sheet dated July 18, 2001, a Personal Identification Number Reset Notice dated January 30, 2002 and part of an envelope dated September 11, 2002 from the Texas Workforce Commission; a letter from AER Manufacturing dated June 1, 2001; a letter from Bank One dated April 8, 2003; a letter from Latinos Income Tax dated January 27, 2007; a Contractor's Invoice dated July 2, 2007; a Texas Liability Insurance Card with an effective date of June 10, 2008; and a Documents Receipt from Reina & Bates Immigration Law Group dated October 4, 2008.

The applicant also resubmits evidence that is already part of the record.

The MCI bill is dated March 3, 2001 and indicates that the applicant was present in the United States subsequent to the date to establish continuous residence but prior to the date to establish continuous physical presence in the United States. The remaining evidence is dated subsequent to the dates required to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying periods. The applicant has failed to submit any evidence of her residence in the United States from February 13, 2001 to March 2, 2001.

The applicant has not submitted sufficient evidence to establish her qualifying residence since February 13, 2001, and her continuous physical presence in the United States from March 9, 2001 to the date the application was filed. She has, therefore, failed to establish that she has met the criteria described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for temporary protected status on these grounds will also be affirmed.

Contrary to what the director indicated in his decision, it is noted that although the applicant has submitted a copy of a birth certificate with English translation, it was not accompanied by a passport or any national identity document from the alien's country of origin bearing photo and/or fingerprint to establish her nationality and identity. Therefore, the application must be denied on this basis as well.

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.



ORDER: The motion is dismissed.