


**U.S. Department of Homeland Security**  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090

(b)(6)



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**



DATE: **AUG 01 2013** Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

IN RE:      Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:      Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg  
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish he was eligible for late registration. The director also denied the application because the applicant had failed to establish his qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the requisite periods.

On appeal, the applicant requests that his application be reconsidered as he has been residing in the United States since entering on December 19, 2000. The applicant submits additional evidence in an attempt to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence during the requisite periods.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state is eligible for TPS only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national of a state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Secretary may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
  - (1) Registers for Temporary Protected Status during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or
  - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
    - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
    - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary

- departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
- (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for re-parole; or
  - (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.
- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The term *continuously physically present*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The term *continuously resided*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001. The designation of TPS for El Salvadorans has been extended several times, with the latest extension valid until September 9, 2013, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite time period.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis. *See Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004).

The first issue to be addressed is whether the applicant is eligible for late registration.

The initial registration period for El Salvadorans was from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002. To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period he fell within at least one of the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) above.

The record reflects that the applicant filed his initial TPS application [REDACTED] on February 23, 2005. On October 5, 2006, the Director, California Service Center, denied the application because the applicant failed to establish late registration eligibility, continuous residence since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence since March 9, 2012. The applicant filed an appeal from the denial of that application. On August 20, 2007, the AAO rejected the appeal as untimely filed.

The applicant filed the current TPS application on March 14, 2012. On July 13, 2012, the director denied the current application because the applicant had not provided any new and compelling evidence that overcame the reasons for denying the initial TPS application.

On appeal, the applicant neither addresses the finding of his ineligibility as a late registrant nor provides any evidence to establish his eligibility as a late registrant. The provisions for late registration were created in order to ensure that TPS benefits were made available to aliens who did not register during the initial registration period for the various circumstances specifically identified in the regulations. The applicant has not submitted evidence that he has met one of those provisions outlined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Consequently, the director's conclusion that the applicant had failed to establish his eligibility for late registration will be affirmed.

The second and third issues to be addressed are whether the applicant has established his continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and his continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001.

The AAO does not view the evidence attesting to the applicant's residence and physical presence as substantive to support a finding that he has continuously resided since February 13, 2001, and has been physically present since March 9, 2001 in the United States as the documents are inconsistent and lack probative value and evidentiary weight. Specifically:

- The 2007 through 2011 income tax returns were not certified as being filed.
- The employment letter dated February 16, 2005 from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] attesting to the applicant's employment since May 2001 does not provide basic information that is expressly required by 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a)(2)(i). Further, no supporting evidence such as earnings statements and wage and tax statements were provided.
- In his letter dated July 24, 2012, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] California, indicated that he engaged in business with the applicant from March 1, 2001 until March 1, 2003. The affiant indicated that the applicant and his brother were approved a line of credit for a car loan. However, the applicant provided no supporting evidence to corroborate the affiant's letter.

- The affidavits from [REDACTED] attested to the applicant's moral character, but made no attestation to the applicant's residence in the United States during the requisite periods.
- In his notarized affidavit, the applicant's brother, [REDACTED] indicated that the applicant resided with him at [REDACTED] Los Angeles, California from December 19, 2000 through December 2005, and that all bills were under his name "like the utilities and rent receipts." However, several of the rent receipts provided by the applicant are addressed in his name and they do not indicate the address. The applicant provided other miscellaneous receipts with a different address during this timeframe.

Doubt cast on any aspect of the applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the application. It is incumbent upon the applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988).

Therefore, it is concluded that the applicant has failed to submit sufficient credible evidence to establish his continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and his continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001. 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for TPS on these grounds will also be affirmed.

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for TPS has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.