

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

M2



DATE: SEP 29 2011

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

FILE:



IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the Vermont Service Center. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the Vermont Service Center by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center. A subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is once again before the AAO. The AAO will reopen the matter on a service motion pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(5)(ii). The case will be remanded for further action by the director.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application because the applicant had been convicted of two misdemeanors in the United States. The AAO, in dismissing the appeal, on October 6, 2005, concurred with the director's findings.

An alien shall not be eligible for TPS under this section if the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security finds that the alien has been convicted of any felony or two or more misdemeanors committed in the United States. See Section 244(c)(2)(B)(i) of the Act and 8 C.F.R. § 244.4(a).

"Misdemeanor" means a crime committed in the United States, either (1) punishable by imprisonment for a term of one year or less, regardless of the term such alien actually served, if any, or (2) a crime treated as a misdemeanor under the term "felony" of this section. For purposes of this definition, any crime punishable by imprisonment for a maximum term of five days or less shall not be considered a misdemeanor. 8 C.F.R. § 244.1.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by USCIS. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The record reflects that on [REDACTED] 2003, the applicant was convicted in the First District Court of New York State of driving while impaired, a violation of VTL 1192.1, and disorderly conduct, a violation of PL 240.20.

The director denied the application on June 1, 2004, after determining that the applicant was ineligible for TPS, pursuant to section 244(c)(2)(B)(i) of the Act, based on his convictions in New York of two misdemeanors.

The issue in this proceeding is whether New York offenses considered to be "violations" and "traffic infractions" should constitute disqualifying convictions for "misdemeanors" in determining TPS eligibility under section 244(c)(2)(B)(i) of the Act and 8 C.F.R. § 244.4.

Violations and traffic infractions committed in the State of New York are not considered "crimes" under state law, do not constitute misdemeanors or felonies, and may not be punished by more than

15 days of imprisonment. *See* New York Penal Law § 10.00(2)-(4) and (6); New York Vehicle and Traffic Law §§ 155, 1800(b).

Pursuant to the Memorandum for Service Center Operations and the AAO dated January 17, 2010, for purposes of the TPS statute and regulations, United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) has determined that New York violations and traffic infractions should not be considered disqualifying misdemeanors.

Accordingly, the applicant's convictions do not constitute misdemeanors for immigration purposes. Therefore, the decisions of the Director, Vermont Service Center, and the AAO are withdrawn.

Prior to rendering its decision to dismiss the appeal, the AAO conducted a *de novo* review,<sup>1</sup> evaluating the sufficiency of the evidence in the record according to its probative value and credibility as required by the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 244.9. The AAO determined that the applicant had not submitted sufficient evidence to establish his continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and his continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001.

Therefore, the applicant's continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the requisite periods must be addressed.

Accordingly, the case will be remanded for further adjudication of the application. The director may request any additional evidence that he considers pertinent to assist with the determination of the applicant's eligibility for TPS. Upon receipt of all the evidence, the director will review the entire record and enter a new decision.

**ORDER:** The decisions of the Director, Vermont Service Center, dated June 1, 2004, and of the AAO dated October 6, 2005, are withdrawn. The case is remanded for further action consistent with the above and entry of a new decision.

---

<sup>1</sup> An application or petition that fails to comply with the technical requirements of the law may be denied by the AAO even if the Service Center does not identify all of the grounds for denial in the initial decision. *See Spencer Enterprises, Inc. v. United States*, 229 F. Supp. 2d 1025, 1043 (E.D. Cal. 2001), *aff'd*, 345 F.3d 683 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003); *see also Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004) (noting that the AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis).