

425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20529



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

HQADN 70/23

Interoffice Memorandum

To: Regional Directors
District Directors

From: William R. Yates (Janis Sposato /s/)
Associate Director for Operations

Date: May 27, 2004

Re: Memorandum #3 -- Field Guidance on Special Immigrant Juvenile Status Petitions

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide policy and procedural clarification on the adjudication of Special Immigrant Juvenile (SIJ) petitions. This guidance memorandum, the third since the 1997 statutory amendment, consolidates and supercedes all previous guidance issued by the Immigration and Naturalization Service.¹

Background

Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) allocates a percentage of immigrant visas to individuals considered “special immigrants” under section 101(a)(27) of the INA, including those aliens classified as special immigrant juveniles under Section 101(a)(27)(J). Section 113 of Pub. L. No. 105-119, 11 Stat. 2440 (November 26, 1997), amended the definition of a “special immigrant juvenile” to include only those juveniles deemed eligible for long-term foster care based on abuse, neglect, or abandonment, and added two provisions that require the consent of the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) (formerly the Attorney General) for SIJ cases. One provision requires *specific* consent to a juvenile court’s jurisdiction over dependency proceedings for a juvenile in DHS custody; the other requires *express* consent to the juvenile court’s dependency order serving as a precondition to a grant of SIJ status. In the case of juveniles in custody due to their immigration status (either by US Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) or by the Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR)), the specific consent must be obtained *before* the juvenile may enter juvenile court dependency proceedings; failure to do so will render invalid any order issued as a result of such proceedings.

¹ Initial guidance was provided by memorandum dated August 7, 1998. That was superseded by Memorandum #2, dated July 9, 1999, which is superseded by this memorandum.

Memorandum #3 -- Field Guidance on Special Immigrant Juvenile Status Petitions
HQADN 70/23
Page 2

This memorandum addresses only those eligibility issues relating to the actual adjudication of the petition for special immigrant juvenile classification and the application for adjustment of status to that of lawful permanent residence, including the concept of “express consent.” It does not address eligibility criteria relating to “specific consent.”

Effect of SIJ approval

Approval of an SIJ petition (Form I-360) makes a petitioner immediately eligible to adjust status by filing a Form I-485. Once the Form I-485 is filed (either concurrently with the I-360, as is strongly encouraged, or subsequent to approval of an I-360), the juvenile may receive employment authorization pursuant to the pending adjustment application.² Juveniles who adjust status as a result of an SIJ classification enjoy all benefits of lawful permanent residence, including eligibility to naturalize after five years; however, they may not seek to confer an immigration benefit to their natural or prior adoptive parents. INA §101(a)(27)(J)(iii)(II). The granting of an SIJ petition or an application for adjustment to a juvenile confers no Federal Government duty or liability toward state child welfare agencies, even for those juveniles placed in foster care.

Consent by Department of Homeland Security

Following the 1997 amendments to Sec. 101(a)(27)(J) and the Homeland Security Act of 2002, a juvenile alien seeking classification as a special immigrant juvenile based on a juvenile court’s dependency order must have, in all cases, the “express consent” of the Secretary of the DHS. In those cases involving a juvenile in the actual or constructive custody of the federal government, the juvenile must first obtain “specific consent” to the juvenile court’s jurisdiction from the Secretary, through ICE, before proceedings on issuing a dependency order for the juvenile may begin. *Specific consent* refers to a determination to permit a juvenile court, which otherwise would have no custody jurisdiction over the juvenile alien, to exercise jurisdiction for purposes of a dependency determination.

Express consent means that the Secretary, through the CIS District Director, has “determine[d] that neither the dependency order nor the administrative or judicial determination of the alien’s best interest was sought primarily for the purpose of obtaining the status of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence, rather than for the purpose of obtaining relief from abuse or neglect [or abandonment.]”³ In other words, express consent is an acknowledgement that the request for SIJ classification is bona fide.

CIS officers adjudicating SIJ petitions need only consider whether the juvenile court order satisfies express consent requirements; however, as discussed below, information relating to a grant of specific consent may also be considered when determining eligibility for express consent.

While this memorandum does not address the criteria for issuing specific consent, officers must be satisfied that specific consent from ICE was timely granted in cases where such consent was required. This is discussed further below.

² 8 CFR 27.12(c)(9)

³ See H.R. Rep. No. 105-405, at 130 (1997).

Memorandum #3 -- Field Guidance on Special Immigrant Juvenile Status Petitions
HQADN 70/23
Page 3

Documentation Requirements for SIJ Petitions

Although current regulations allow for separate filing of the Form I-360 (Petition for Amerasian, Widow(er), or Special Immigrant) and the Form I-485 (Application To Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status), USCIS strongly encourages concurrent filing of both forms in order to expedite the completion of the juvenile's application.

The Form I-360 must be supported by:

- Court order declaring dependency on the juvenile court or placing the juvenile under (or legally committing the juvenile to) the custody of an agency or department of a State.
- Court order deeming the juvenile eligible for long-term foster care due to abuse, neglect, or abandonment.⁴
- Determination from an administrative or judicial proceeding that it is in the juvenile's best interest not to be returned to his/her country of nationality or last habitual residence (or the juvenile's parents' country of nationality or last habitual residence)(hereinafter "home country")⁵; and
- Proof of the juvenile's age⁶.

The Form I-485 must also be supported by documentation:

- Birth certificate or other proof of identity in compliance with 8 CFR 103.2;
- A sealed medical examination (Form I-639);
- Two ADIT-style color photographs; and, where applicable, also supported by:
- Evidence of inspection, admission or parole (if available; by law an individual with SIJ classification is deemed to be paroled for purposes of adjustment of status⁷);
- If the applicant is over 14, s/he must also submit a Form G-325A (Biographic Information);
- If the juvenile has an arrest record, s/he must also submit certified copies of the records of disposition; and
- If the juvenile is seeking a waiver of a ground of inadmissibility that is not otherwise automatically waived under INA §245(h)(2)(A), s/he must submit a Form I-601 (Application for Waiver of Ground of Excludability) and supporting documents establishing that waiver is warranted for humanitarian purposes, family unity, or in the public interest (supporting documents could include affidavits, letters, press clippings, etc.).

⁴ The regulations provide: "Eligible for long-term foster care means that a determination has been made by the juvenile court that family reunification is no longer a viable option." 8 C.F.R. § 204.11(a).

⁵ INA §101(a)(27)(J)(ii) This requirement can be satisfied through a determination made by the juvenile court and incorporated in the juvenile court order. *See infra*.

⁶ Examples include an official birth certificate, passport, or foreign identity document issued by a foreign government, such as a cedula or cartilla. 8 CFR§204.11(d).

⁷ INA §245(h)(1). Although deemed paroled as a matter of law, applicants may still be subject to INA §212(a)(2)(A), (B), and (C), §212(a)(3)(A), (B), (C), and (E), and §241(a)(5). See discussion below.

Memorandum #3 -- Field Guidance on Special Immigrant Juvenile Status Petitions
HQADN 70/23
Page 4

Applicants may also submit a Form I-765 (Application for Employment Authorization) based on the pending Form I-485, if needed.

The Court Order

The Court Order submitted in support of the Form I-360 *must establish*:

- The juvenile has been declared a dependent of the juvenile court or the court has placed the juvenile under (or legally committed the juvenile to) the custody of an agency or department of a State; and

The juvenile has been deemed eligible for long-term foster care due to abuse, neglect, or abandonment⁸

The Court Order will also *preferably establish* the following (these may be established in alternative ways as discussed later):

- Specific findings of fact in support of the Order, sufficient to establish a basis for USCIS express consent; and
- That it would not be in the alien's best interest to be returned to the alien's home country.

Evidence to establish the best interests of the child not to return to home country

As noted above, a petition cannot be granted unless it has been determined in an administrative or judicial proceeding that it would not be in the alien's best interest to be returned to the alien's or parent's previous country of nationality or country of last habitual residence. This determination may be made by the juvenile court. USCIS strongly encourages juvenile courts to address this issue and incorporate a finding into the court order. Nevertheless, the law contemplates that other judicial or administrative bodies authorized or recognized by the juvenile court may make such a determination⁹. If a particular juvenile court establishes or endorses an alternate process for this finding, a ruling from that process may satisfy the requirement.

Evidence to establish express consent

The District Director, in his or her discretion, shall expressly consent to dependency orders that establish -- or are supported by appropriate evidence that establishes -- that the juvenile was deemed eligible for long-term foster care due to abuse, neglect, or abandonment, and that it is in the juvenile's best interest not to be returned to his/her home country. Such express consent should be given only if the adjudicator is aware of the facts that formed the basis for the juvenile court's rulings on dependency (or state custody), eligibility for long-term foster care based on abuse, neglect, or abandonment, and non-viability of family reunification, or the adjudicator determines that a reasonable basis in fact exists for these rulings. The adjudicator generally should not second-guess

⁸ The regulation provides: "Eligible for long-term foster care means that a determination has been made by the juvenile court that family reunification is no longer a viable option." 8 C.F.R. § 204.11(a). A child adopted or placed in guardianship after receiving a dependency order continues to be considered eligible for long-term foster care under 8 C.F.R. §204.11(a), and, necessarily, remains considered a juvenile court dependent based on the prior dependency order.
⁹ 8 C.F.R. §204.11(c)(6).

Memorandum #3 -- Field Guidance on Special Immigrant Juvenile Status Petitions
HQADN 70/23
Page 5

the court rulings or question whether the court's order was properly issued. Orders that include or are supplemented by specific findings of fact as to the above-listed rulings will usually be sufficient to establish eligibility for consent. Such findings need not be overly detailed, but must reflect that the juvenile court made an informed decision.

The role of the District Director in determining whether to grant express consent is limited to the purpose of determining special immigrant juvenile status, and not for making determinations of dependency status.¹⁰

If an order (or order supplemented with findings of fact, as described above) is not sufficient to establish a reasonable basis for consent, the adjudicator must review additional evidence to determine whether a reasonable factual basis exists for the court's rulings. To do so, the adjudicator may request that the petitioner provide actual records from the judicial proceeding; however, adjudicators must be mindful that confidentiality rules often restrict disclosure of records from juvenile-related proceedings, so seeking such records directly from the court may be inappropriate, depending on the applicable State law. In the alternative, the adjudicator may request the petition to provide an affidavit from the Court, or the state agency or department in whose custody the child has been placed, summarizing the evidence presented to the court. Additionally, if the applicant had obtained a grant of specific consent from ICE, the grant should be considered a favorable factor in establishing express consent. The adjudicator may also consider the evidence that provided the foundation for the granting of specific consent.

If an adjudicator encounters what s/he believes to be a fraudulently obtained order s/he should promptly notify a supervisor, who should immediately notify USCIS Headquarters, Office of Field Operations and Office of Program and Regulation Development, through designated channels, to coordinate appropriate follow-up.

Because express consent essentially is a determination that the order reflects a bona fide basis for special immigrant juvenile status, approval of an SIJ application itself shall serve as a grant of express consent.

Validity of Juvenile Court Orders in Previously Detained Cases (Specific Consent)

The adjudicator must be satisfied that the petitioner obtained specific consent from ICE where necessary. If specific consent was necessary but not timely obtained, a juvenile court dependency order is not valid and the petition must be denied. INA § 101(a)(27)(J)(iii)(I); 8 C.F.R. § 204.11(c)(3). Please check with the local ICE juvenile coordinator who handled the case to determine whether specific consent was required, and if so, whether it was timely granted.

¹⁰ H.R. Rep. No. 105-405, at 130 (1997)

Memorandum #3 -- Field Guidance on Special Immigrant Juvenile Status Petitions
HQADN 70/23
Page 6

Inadmissibility

SIJ beneficiaries are excused from many requirements that other applicants for adjustment must meet. Most notably, SIJ applicants are excused from several grounds of inadmissibility,¹¹ including provisions prohibiting entry of those likely to become a public charge,¹² those without proper labor certification,¹³ and those without a proper immigrant visa.¹⁴ In addition, most other grounds of inadmissibility may be waived for humanitarian purposes, family unity, or when it is otherwise in the public interest. The only grounds of inadmissibility that are not waivable for SIJ applicants are those listed in INA§212(a)(2)(A), (B), and (C)¹⁵ and (3)(A), (B), (C), and (E).

Aging Out

Current regulations require that an applicant for SIJ adjustment must be under 21 years old, not only at the time of application, but also at the time of adjustment.¹⁶ Failure to adjust prior to age 21 results in denial of the application, regardless of the merits of the underlying dependency order; this is known as “aging out.” Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit petitions and applications in a timely fashion and to notify the agency when the risk of aging out is strong. In addition, District Offices should assess new applications to avoid the risk of SIJ age outs, and take the following precautions to prevent it:

- Schedule SIJ adjustment interviews well in advance of the petitioner’s 21st birthday, or in jurisdictions where court dependency terminates before age 21, well in advance of that birth date (e.g. age 18 in New Jersey).
- Ensure proper completion of background checks, including fingerprint clearances and name-checks (this means all clearances should be scheduled no later than 60 days prior to the age-out date).
- Provide for expedited processing of cases at risk of aging out (e.g. in-person filing for applicants who age out within a year; priority interviews and fingerprinting; other appropriate administrative relief).

Officers are also reminded that, in many circumstances, Section 424 of the USAPATRIOT Act provides SIJ beneficiaries limited age-out protection by extending benefits eligibility for 45 days beyond the 21st birthday. Pursuant to Section 424(2), an alien who is the beneficiary of a petition or application filed on or before September 11, 2001, whose 21st birthday occurs after September 2001 is considered to be a child for 45 days after the alien's 21st birthday for purposes of adjudicating such petition or application.¹⁷

¹¹ See INA§245(h)(2)(A). In addition, the corresponding grounds of removal under INA §237(c) are also waived for juveniles granted SIJ.

¹² INA§212(a)(4)

¹³ INA§212(a)(5)(A)

¹⁴ INA§212(a)(7)(A)

¹⁵ Except for a single instance of simple possession of 30 grams or less of marijuana.

¹⁶ 8 CFR§205.1(a)(3)(iv)(A).

¹⁷ This provision has been specifically applied to SIJ beneficiaries. See *Pierre v. McElroy*, 200 F.Supp.2d 251 (SDNY 2001). Note: This necessarily includes treating the juvenile as under juvenile court jurisdiction during the 45-day period.

Memorandum #3 -- Field Guidance on Special Immigrant Juvenile Status Petitions
HQADN 70/23
Page 7

Fee Waivers

Adjudicators are reminded that, pursuant to 8 CFR 103.7(c), SIJ applicants may be eligible for fee waivers for forms I-360, I-485 and I-765. Requests for fee waivers should be adjudicated expeditiously, and consistent with prevailing policy guidance (see Memorandum from William Yates, Field Guidance on Granting Fee Waivers Pursuant to 8 CFR 103.7(c), March 4, 2004). In considering the applicant's inability to pay the fee, adjudicators should pay particularly close attention to fee waiver guidance relating to consideration of humanitarian or compassionate reasons in support of a request (Id., at 4). Recommendations on fee waiver requests must be forwarded to the appropriate supervisor for decision.

Vienna Convention on Consular Relations

Adjudicators should not ask SIJ applicants to provide proof of compliance with the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (VCCR). The VCCR, which has little or nothing to do with SIJ classification, includes reporting requirements for government agencies encountering foreign citizens, usually in the context of criminal proceedings, but also in guardianship and trusteeship situations. In most cases, if a juvenile was in either the criminal justice system or under the care of a guardian or a trustee, the relevant state agency would have had a duty to report to the juvenile's consulate and afford the juvenile an opportunity to contact the consulate. The VCCR places no burden of reporting on the juvenile, and is therefore outside the scope of USCIS's determination of eligibility for SIJ classification or adjustment.

Further information

Questions relating to this memorandum should be directed through appropriate channels by phone or e-mail to Steven D. Heller (Operation and Regulations Developments, (202) 616-7435) or Leah Torino (Field Operations, (202) 514-2982).

Index

Background.....	1
Effect of SIJ approval	2
Consent by Department of Homeland Security	2
Documentation Requirements for SIJ Petitions	3
The Court Order.....	4
Evidence to establish the best interests of the child not to return to home country	4
Evidence to establish express consent	4
Validity of Juvenile Court Orders in Previously Detained Cases (Specific Consent)	5
Inadmissibility.....	6
Aging Out	6
Fee Waivers	7
Vienna Convention on Consular Relations.....	7
Further information.....	7
Index	7