




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY



*AL*

FILE:



Office: MIAMI, FLORIDA Date:

JUL 14 2005


IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Permanent Residence Pursuant to Section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966 (P.L. 89-732)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

*Robert P. Wiemann*

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the District Director, Miami, Florida, who certified his decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) for review. The District Director's decision will be affirmed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Ecuador who filed this application for adjustment of status to that of a lawful permanent resident under section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act (CAA) of November 2, 1966. The CAA provides, in pertinent part:

[T]he status of any alien who is a native or citizen of Cuba and who has been inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States subsequent to January 1, 1959 and has been physically present in the United States for at least one year, may be adjusted by the Attorney General, (now the Secretary of Homeland Security, (Secretary)), in his discretion and under such regulations as he may prescribe, to that of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if the alien makes an application for such adjustment, and the alien is eligible to receive an immigrant visa and is admissible to the United States for permanent residence. The provisions of this Act shall be applicable to the spouse and child of any alien described in this subsection, regardless of their citizenship and place of birth, who are residing with such alien in the United States.

The District Director determined that the applicant was not eligible for adjustment of status as the spouse of a native or citizen of Cuba, pursuant to section 1 of the CAA of November 2, 1966, because his marriage is not considered valid under the immigration laws. *See District Director's Decision* dated November 3, 2004.

The record reflects that on April 8, 2003, at Miami Beach, Florida, the applicant married [REDACTED], a native and citizen of Cuba whose immigration status was adjusted to that of a lawful permanent resident of the United States, pursuant to section 1 of the CAA. Based on that marriage, on April 23, 2003, the applicant filed for adjustment of status under section 1 of the CAA.

The applicant and his spouse (Ms. [REDACTED]) appeared before Citizenship and Immigration Services, (CIS) for an interview regarding the application for permanent residence. During the interview it was discovered that Ms. [REDACTED] first marriage had not been terminated when the applicant and she applied for a marriage license on April 3, 2003. The record of proceedings reveals that Ms. [REDACTED] first marriage was terminated by divorce on April 8, 2003.

In order for a marriage license to be issued in the State of Florida, if the individuals were previously married they must provide the date of divorce or the date of the spouse's death. If the divorce or the spouse's death occurred within 30 days prior to the application for a marriage license, a certified copy of the divorce decree or death certificate is required. Since Ms. [REDACTED] first marriage was not terminated on the date of the filing of the marriage license the applicant's marriage cannot be considered valid under immigration laws. The application for adjustment of status was denied accordingly.

On notice of certification, the applicant was offered an opportunity to submit evidence in opposition to the District Director's findings. No additional evidence has been entered into the record.

Pursuant to section 291 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361, the burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he is eligible for adjustment of status. He has failed to meet that burden.

The decision of the District Director to deny the application will be affirmed.

**ORDER:** The District Director's decision is affirmed.