

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

A3

FILE:

Office: WASHINGTON DISTRICT

Date:

FEB 20 2009

IN RE:

APPLICATION: Application for Status as Permanent Resident Pursuant to Section 13 of the Act of September 11, 1957, 8 U.S.C. § 1255b.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

  
John F. Grissom, Acting Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Field Office Director, Washington, D.C. and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of the Philippines who is seeking to adjust her status to that of lawful permanent resident under section 13 of the Act of 1957 ("Section 13"), Pub. L. No. 85-316, 71 Stat. 642, as modified, 95 Stat. 1611, 8 U.S.C. § 1255b, as the spouse of an alien who performed diplomatic or semi-diplomatic duties under section 101(a)(15)(A)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(A)(i).<sup>1</sup>

The field office director denied the application for adjustment of status of the applicant's spouse after determining that the applicant's spouse had failed to demonstrate that compelling reasons prevent his return to the Philippines. The field office director denied the applicant's adjustment application on the basis of her spouse's ineligibility for benefits under Section 13. Counsel has appealed both decisions.

In a separate decision, the AAO dismissed the appeal of the applicant's spouse on the grounds that he failed to establish compelling reasons that prevent his return to the Philippines. As the applicant's eligibility for adjustment under Section 13 derives from the eligibility of her spouse, and the applicant has not asserted compelling reasons separate from those claimed by her spouse, the applicant is also ineligible for adjustment of status.

For the reasons discussed above, the AAO finds that the applicant is not eligible for adjustment under Section 13. Pursuant to section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361, the burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that she is eligible for adjustment of status. The applicant has failed to meet that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.

---

<sup>1</sup> The applicant also worked as a Foreign Service Staff Officer and Visa Officer for the Consulate General of the Philippines, San Francisco, in A-2 status. In her sworn statement dated December 20, 2006, the applicant provided a brief description of her job duties (signing visas and Philippine passports) and indicated that she does not believe she or her family would be subject to persecution if required to depart from the United States. The record does not include sufficient information to conclude that the applicant, herself, is eligible for adjustment of status under Section 13(a) of the 1957 statute. The applicant does not provide sufficient information to determine that she performed diplomatic or semi-diplomatic duties while in A-2 classification and the record does not present any compelling reasons establishing that she is unable to return to the Philippines. The applicant does not provide any evidence separate from or in addition to the information provided by her spouse in his case.