

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

A3

[REDACTED]

FILE:

Office: WASHINGTON DISTRICT

Date:

FEB 24 2009

IN RE:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as Permanent Resident Pursuant to Section 13 of the Act of September 11, 1957, 8 U.S.C. § 1255b.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John F. Grissom".

John F. Grissom, Acting Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Field Office Director, Washington, D.C. and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of the Philippines who is seeking to adjust her status to that of lawful permanent resident under section 13 of the Act of 1957 ("Section 13"), Pub. L. No. 85-316, 71 Stat. 642, as modified, 95 Stat. 1611, 8 U.S.C. § 1255b, as the spouse of an alien who performed diplomatic or semi-diplomatic duties under section 101(a)(15)(A)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(A)(ii).

The field office director denied the application for adjustment of status of the applicant's spouse after determining that the applicant's spouse had failed to demonstrate: that he performed diplomatic or semi-diplomatic duties; that compelling reasons prevent his return to the Philippines; and that his adjustment would be in the national interest of the United States. The field office director denied the applicant's adjustment application on the basis of her spouse's ineligibility for benefits under Section 13.

Counsel submitted a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, checking the box to indicate that he was attaching a brief and additional information in support of a motion to reopen/reconsider. The attached brief in this matter is the same brief submitted in support of the applicant's spouse's motion to reopen/reconsider and is titled Motion to Reopen/Reconsideration/Appeal. Although the field office director considered the brief and information submitted in the applicant's spouse's matter as a motion to reopen and reconsider and denied the applicant's spouse's motion as the evidence submitted was insufficient to reopen the matter, the field office director did not issue a decision on the motion to reopen/reconsider/appeal in this matter. As the brief submitted by counsel is titled a Motion to Reopen/Reconsideration/Appeal, the AAO will consider the evidence in this instance submitted in the Motion to Reopen/Reconsideration/Appeal as an appeal.

In a separate decision, the AAO dismissed the appeal of the applicant's spouse on the grounds that he failed to establish compelling reasons that prevent his return to the Philippines as required under Section 13. As the applicant's eligibility for adjustment under Section 13 derives from the eligibility of her spouse, and the applicant has not asserted compelling reasons separate from those claimed by her spouse, the applicant is also ineligible for adjustment of status.

For the reasons discussed above, the AAO finds that the applicant is not eligible for adjustment under Section 13. Pursuant to section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361, the burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that she is eligible for adjustment of status. The applicant has failed to meet that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.