






U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services


(b)(6)



DATE: **AUG 26 2014** OFFICE: NATIONAL BENEFITS CENTER FILE 

IN RE: APPLICANT: 

APPLICATION: Application for Status as Permanent Resident Pursuant to Section 13 of the Act of September 11, 1957, 8 U.S.C. § 1255b.


ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:


INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,


Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the National Benefits Center Director. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Bangladesh who is seeking to adjust her status to that of a lawful permanent resident under section 13 of the Act of 1957 ("Section 13"), Pub. L. No. 85-316, 71 Stat. 642, as modified, 95 Stat. 1611, 8 U.S.C. § 1255b, as the immediate relative of an alien who performed diplomatic or semi-diplomatic duties under section 101(a)(15)(A)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(A)(ii).

The director denied the Form I-485, Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status, on February 22, 2012. The director determined that the applicant's spouse had failed to establish that compelling reasons prevent his return to Bangladesh. The director denied the applicant's adjustment application on the basis of her spouse's ineligibility for benefits under Section 13. Both decisions were appealed.

In a separate decision, we dismissed the appeal of the applicant's spouse concurring with the director's decision that he had not demonstrated that compelling reasons preclude his return to Bangladesh. As the applicant's eligibility for adjustment under Section 13 derives from the eligibility of her husband, the applicant is also ineligible for adjustment of status.

For the reasons discussed above, we find that the applicant is not eligible for adjustment under Section 13. Pursuant to section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361, the burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that she is eligible for adjustment of status. The applicant has failed to meet that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The application remains denied.