


Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

B2

PUBLIC COPY



FILE: WAC 04 118 53254 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: OCT 05 2006

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as an Alien of Extraordinary Ability Pursuant to Section 203(b)(1)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(A)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner seeks classification as an employment-based immigrant pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(A), as an alien of extraordinary ability. The director determined the petitioner had not established the sustained national or international acclaim necessary to qualify for classification as an alien of extraordinary ability.

On appeal, the petitioner states:

Currently I am in Iran and yet, I had limited time to access authorized legal lawyer because of short period of notice and time lost during mail in post. I need to search and find a qualified representative to consult with him/her which will take at least a month until I can send him proper documents, he/she will also need at least a month or two to prepare the case and present the needed documents to your department. There are much more evidence and facts especially regarding origination, purpose and significance of national or international awards and other extraordinary qualification items presented in the last documents; I am preparing those documents and explanations which will describe my extraordinary capabilities and qualifications; if I prepare and send these documents and clarifications regarding each items to your office it will produce positive results regarding my case. I wish to have 90 days to present these documents and explanations.

The appellate submission was unaccompanied by arguments specifically challenging the director's findings or evidence pertaining to the regulatory criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(h)(3). The petitioner indicated that a brief and/or evidence would be submitted to the AAO within 90 days. The appeal was filed on December 28, 2005. As of this date, more than nine months later, the AAO has received nothing further.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The petitioner has not specifically addressed the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence relevant to the classification sought. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.