

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

B2



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER Date: JUL 23 2009
LIN 07 169 50454

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as an Alien of Extraordinary Ability Pursuant to
Section 203(b)(1)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(A)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

John F. Grissom
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be sustained and the petition will be approved.

The petitioner seeks classification as an employment-based immigrant pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(A), as an alien of extraordinary ability. The director determined that the petitioner had not established the sustained national or international acclaim required for classification as an alien of extraordinary ability.

On appeal, the petitioner submits a statement. For the reasons discussed below, we are satisfied that the petitioner enjoys sustained national acclaim in his field.

Section 203(b) of the Act states, in pertinent part:

(1) Priority Workers. -- Visas shall first be made available . . . to qualified immigrants who are aliens described in any of the following subparagraphs (A) through (C):

(A) Aliens with Extraordinary Ability. -- An alien is described in this subparagraph if --

(i) the alien has extraordinary ability in the sciences, arts, education, business, or athletics which has been demonstrated by sustained national or international acclaim and whose achievements have been recognized in the field through extensive documentation,

(ii) the alien seeks to enter the United States to continue work in the area of extraordinary ability, and

(iii) the alien's entry into the United States will substantially benefit prospectively the United States.

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) and legacy Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) have consistently recognized that Congress intended to set a very high standard for individuals seeking immigrant visas as aliens of extraordinary ability. *See* 56 Fed. Reg. 60897, 60898-99 (Nov. 29, 1991). As used in this section, the term "extraordinary ability" means a level of expertise indicating that the individual is one of that small percentage who have risen to the very top of the field of endeavor. 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(h)(2). The specific requirements for supporting documents to establish that an alien has sustained national or international acclaim and recognition in his or her field of expertise are set forth in the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(h)(3). The relevant criteria will be addressed below. It should be reiterated, however, that the petitioner must show that he has sustained national or international acclaim at the very top level.

This petition, filed on May 21, 2007, seeks to classify the petitioner as an alien with extraordinary ability as a chess player.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(h)(3) indicates that an alien can establish sustained national or international acclaim through evidence of a one-time achievement (that is, a major, internationally recognized award). Congress' example of a one-time achievement is a Nobel Prize. H.R. Rpt. 101-723, 59 (Sept. 19, 1990). The regulation is consistent with this legislative history, stating that a one-time achievement must be a *major, internationally recognized* award. 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(h)(3). Significantly, even a lesser internationally recognized award could serve to meet only one of the ten regulatory criteria, of which an alien must meet at least three. 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(h)(3)(i). The selection of Nobel Laureates, the example provided by Congress, is reported in the top media internationally regardless of the nationality of the awardees, is a familiar name to the public at large, and includes a large cash prize.

While an internationally recognized award could constitute a one-time achievement without meeting all of those elements, it is clear from the example provided by Congress that the award must be internationally recognized in the alien's field as one of the top awards in that field. Thus, counsel's assertion that the petitioner's grandmaster title constitutes a one-time achievement is not persuasive as the plain wording of the regulation clearly defines such an achievement as a major, internationally recognized *award*. Nevertheless, this title is certainly consistent with sustained national or international acclaim.

Barring the alien's receipt of such an award, the regulation outlines the following ten criteria, at least three of which must be satisfied for an alien to establish the sustained acclaim necessary to qualify as an alien of extraordinary ability.

(i) Documentation of the alien's receipt of lesser nationally or internationally recognized prizes or awards for excellence in the field of endeavor;

(ii) Documentation of the alien's membership in associations in the field for which classification is sought, which require outstanding achievements of their members, as judged by recognized national or international experts in their disciplines or fields;

(iii) Published material about the alien in professional or major trade publications or other major media, relating to the alien's work in the field for which classification is sought. Such evidence shall include the title, date, and author of the material, and any necessary translation;

(iv) Evidence of the alien's participation, either individually or on a panel, as a judge of the work of others in the same or an allied field of specialization for which classification is sought;

(v) Evidence of the alien's original scientific, scholarly, artistic, athletic, or business-related contributions of major significance in the field;

- (vi) Evidence of the alien's authorship of scholarly articles in the field, in professional or major trade publications or other major media;
- (vii) Evidence of the display of the alien's work in the field at artistic exhibitions or showcases;
- (viii) Evidence that the alien has performed in a leading or critical role for organizations or establishments that have a distinguished reputation;
- (ix) Evidence that the alien has commanded a high salary or other significantly high remuneration for services, in relation to others in the field; or
- (x) Evidence of commercial successes in the performing arts, as shown by box office receipts or record, cassette, compact disk, or video sales.

The petitioner submitted supporting evidence including his impressive national and world chess rankings, his international grandmaster title, material regarding the significance of this title, evidence of membership in associations, several notable news articles and tournament results, including award certificates. This evidence strongly supports the letters from highly distinguished members of the field, including International [REDACTED] who characterizes the petitioner's recent two and a half point lead over other Grand Masters "just phenomenal" and "rare in International Grand Master level chess tournaments."

Not all of the petitioner's evidence carries the weight imputed to it by counsel. Moreover, the commentary at 56 Fed. Reg. 60899 (Nov. 29, 1991) states:

The Service disagrees that all athletes performing at the major league level should automatically meet the "extraordinary ability" standard. . . . A blanket rule for all major league athletes would contravene Congress' intent to reserve this category to "that small percentage of individuals who have risen to the very top of their field of endeavor."

Nevertheless, consistent with *Matter of Price*, 20 I&N Dec. 953 (Act. Assoc. Comm'r. 1994), we find the evidence of record sufficient to establish that the petitioner has demonstrated his eligibility for the classification sought. Specifically, upon careful review of the record, it is concluded that the petitioner has demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that he is within the small percentage of individuals who have risen to the very top of the field of chess. The evidence submitted establishes that the petitioner has sustained national or international acclaim and that his achievements have been recognized in the field of chess. As a result, the petitioner qualifies as an alien of extraordinary ability.

The burden of proof in visa petition proceedings remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has sustained that burden.

ORDER: The decision of the director is withdrawn. The appeal is sustained and the petition is approved.