

identifying data deleted to  
prevent identity misrepresentation  
invasion of personal privacy


**PUBLIC COPY**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

B3



FILE:

LIN 07 149 50548

Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date: FEB 05 2009

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as Outstanding Professor or Researcher Pursuant to Section 203(b)(1)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(B)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mai Johnson".

John F. Grissom, Acting Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Nebraska Service Center, denied the employment-based immigrant visa petition, which is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be sustained and the petition will be approved.

The petitioner is a university. It seeks to classify the beneficiary as an outstanding researcher pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(B). According to the petition, the petitioner seeks to employ the beneficiary in the United States as an adjunct assistant professor. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that it had offered the beneficiary a permanent job as of the date of filing.

On appeal, counsel submitted a brief. On November 10, 2008, this office advised the petitioner of information in its Academic Personnel Manual. In response, the petitioner submitted a letter. We are now persuaded that the position offered to the beneficiary is a research position that is sufficiently comparable to a tenure-track teaching position.

Section 203(b) of the Act states, in pertinent part, that:

(1) Priority workers. -- Visas shall first be made available . . . to qualified immigrants who are aliens described in any of the following subparagraphs (A) through (C):

(B) Outstanding professors and researchers. -- An alien is described in this subparagraph if --

(i) the alien is recognized internationally as outstanding in a specific academic area,

(ii) the alien has at least 3 years of experience in teaching or research in the academic area, and

(iii) the alien seeks to enter the United States --

(I) for a tenured position (or tenure-track position) within a university or institution of higher education to teach in the academic area,

(II) for a comparable position with a university or institution of higher education to conduct research in the area, or

(III) for a comparable position to conduct research in the area with a department, division, or institute of a private employer, if the department, division, or institute employs at least 3 persons

full-time in research activities and has achieved documented accomplishments in an academic field.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(i)(3)(iii) provides that a petition must be accompanied by:

An *offer* of employment from a prospective United States employer. A labor certification is not required for this classification. The offer of employment shall be in the form of a letter from:

- (A) A United States university or institution of higher learning *offering* the alien a tenured or tenure-track teaching position in the alien's academic field;
- (B) A United States university or institution of higher learning *offering* the alien a permanent research position in the alien's academic field; or
- (C) A department, division, or institute of a private employer *offering* the alien a permanent research position in the alien's academic field. The department, division, or institute must demonstrate that it employs at least three persons full-time in research positions, and that it has achieved documented accomplishments in an academic field.

(Emphasis added.) Black's Law Dictionary 1111 (7<sup>th</sup> ed. 1999) defines "offer" as "the act or an instance of presenting something for acceptance" or "a display of willingness to enter into a contract on specified terms, made in a way that would lead a reasonable person to understand that an acceptance, having been sought, will result in a binding contract." Black's Law Dictionary does not define "offeror" or "offeree." The online law dictionary by American Lawyer Media (ALM), available at [www.law.com](http://www.law.com), defines offer as "a specific proposal to enter into an agreement with another. An offer is essential to the formation of an enforceable contract. An offer and acceptance of the offer creates the contract." Significantly, the same dictionary defines offeree as "a person or entity *to whom an offer to enter into a contract is made* by another (the offeror)," and offeror as "a person or entity who makes a specific proposal *to another (the offeree)* to enter into a contract." (Emphasis added.)

In light of the above, we concur with the director that the ordinary meaning of an "offer" requires that it be made to the offeree, not a third party. As such, regulatory language requiring that the offer be made "to the beneficiary" would simply be redundant. Thus, a letter addressed to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) *affirming* the beneficiary's employment is not a job *offer* within the ordinary meaning of that phrase.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(i)(2), provides, in pertinent part:

*Permanent*, in reference to a research position, means either tenured, tenure track, or for a term of indefinite or unlimited duration, and in which the employee will ordinarily have an expectation of continued employment unless there is good cause for termination.

In promulgating the final regulation, the Immigration and Naturalization Services, now USCIS, recognized that it is unusual for colleges and universities to place researchers in tenured or tenure-track positions. Thus, the commentary to the final rule accepts that research positions “*having no fixed term* and in which the employee will *ordinarily* have an *expectation* of permanent employment” as comparable. (Emphasis added.) 56 Fed. Reg. 60897, 60899 (Nov. 29, 1991).

On Part 6 of the petition, the petitioner indicated that the proposed employment was a permanent position. The petitioner does not assert that the position is tenured or tenure-track, as required for a teaching position pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I), quoted above. Rather, it is the petitioner’s contention that the position is a comparable position to conduct research pursuant to section 203(b)(1)(B)(iii)(II), quoted above. The job offer dated July 10, 2006 advises that the beneficiary will devote 20 percent of his time to teaching, 10 percent to administrative duties and 70 percent to research.

On November 10, 2008, this office advised the petitioner that its Academic Personnel Manual, Section 280-16(c), limits employment in the adjunct series to eight years. In response, the petitioner notes that adjunct assistant professor may move to other positions after eight years and that tenure-track positions are also limited to a specific period during which the employee must obtain tenure to remain employed. Thus, we are persuaded that the position is a research position comparable to a tenure-track position.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be sustained and the petition will be approved.

**ORDER:** The appeal is sustained and the petition is approved.