

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

PUBLIC COPY

B5



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER Date: **JAN 21 2010**
LIN 07 174 50450

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Member of the Professions Holding an Advanced Degree or an Alien of Exceptional Ability Pursuant to Section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(2)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:
[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Nebraska Service Center, denied the employment-based immigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is an international management consulting firm. It seeks to permanently employ the beneficiary in the United States as an engagement manager. The petitioner requests classification of the beneficiary as an advanced degree professional pursuant to section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(2). The director denied the petition on June 7, 2007, and the petitioner appealed the decision to the AAO.

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services records state that, subsequent to filing the instant petition, the petitioner filed a second petition on behalf of the beneficiary (receipt number LIN 08 002 58984), and the beneficiary concurrently filed an adjustment application (receipt number LIN 08 002 59743; [REDACTED]). The adjustment application was approved on June 12, 2008. Because the beneficiary of the instant petition has already adjusted to lawful permanent resident status, the matter is moot.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed based on the beneficiary's adjustment to lawful permanent resident status.