

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

B6



File: [REDACTED] Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: DEC 03 2007
SRC-06-049-51864

In re: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

Petition: Immigrant petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a convenience store. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a retail store manager (manager) pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3), as a skilled worker. As required by statute, the petition is accompanied by a Form ETA 750, Application for Alien Employment Certification, approved by the Department of Labor (DOL). The director denied the petition due to the petitioner's inability to pay the proffered wage at the time the priority date was established and continuing until the beneficiary obtains lawful permanent residence.

On the Form I-290B, counsel indicated that he would be submitting a separate brief and/or evidence to the AAO within 30 days. The appeal was received by the Texas Service Center on June 5, 2006. Since the AAO has received nothing further, the AAO sent a fax to counsel on August 30, 2007 informing counsel that no separate brief and/or evidence was received, to confirm whether or not he would send anything else in this matter, and as a courtesy, providing him with five (5) days to respond. To date, more than twelve (12) weeks later, no reply has been received.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. In the instant case, counsel stated in Part 3 of the Form I-290B that "[t]he [redacted] will submit audited financial records to prove that the net current assets were equal to ~~or greater than the proffered wage~~ in all years under consideration." In his appeal submission letter dated May 30, 2006, counsel repeated that "[w]e will submit our brief and additional evidence within 30 days in a timely matter." The record does not show that counsel for the petitioner identified specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. In addition, the AAO's August 30, 2007 fax expressly informed counsel that "[f]ailure to respond to this notice within five business days may result in the summary dismissal of your appeal." Despite the AAO's correspondence, counsel has not specifically addressed the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence. Therefore, the appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.