

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly identifiable
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

B6



FILE: [REDACTED]
SRC 07 260 53142

Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: **MAR 17 2009**

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)


ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).


John F. Grissom, Acting Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner seeks to classify the beneficiary pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3) as a skilled worker. The director determined that the petitioner, [REDACTED], failed to demonstrate that it has the financial ability to pay the proffered wage as of the priority date. Accordingly, the director denied the petition.

On appeal, counsel merely stated that the director erred in finding that the petitioner did not possess the financial ability to pay the proffered wage and that “details will follow in the brief and supporting documents that will be submitted in 30 days.”

The appeal was filed on January 12, 2009. On Part 2 of the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, counsel requested an additional 30 days to submit a brief and/or additional evidence.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(vii) and (viii), an affected party shall submit the brief directly to the AAO. Therefore the brief was due on February 17, 2009.

As of this date, the AAO has received nothing further.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

Counsel here has not specifically addressed the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence to overcome the basis for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.