

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**
PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

B6



FILE: [REDACTED]
LIN 07 266 57931

Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

AUG 05 2010

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to
Section 203(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks to classify the beneficiary pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3) as a skilled worker. The director determined that the petitioner failed to demonstrate its continuing ability to pay the proffered wage from the priority date of June 23, 2000. The director denied the petition, accordingly.

On appeal, counsel stated:

The USCIS failed to consider all of the evidence presented by appellant in its decision to deny appellant's petition.

Counsel stated that a brief and/or additional evidence would be submitted to the AAO within 30 days. Counsel dated the appeal June 15, 2009, and it was received on June 26, 2009. As of this date, more than 13 months later, the AAO has received nothing further.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(vii) states in pertinent part:

Additional time to submit a brief. The affected party may make a written request to the AAO for additional time to submit a brief. The AAO may, for good cause shown, allow the affected party additional time to submit one.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(viii) states in pertinent part:

Where to submit supporting brief if additional time is granted. If the AAO grants additional time, the affected party shall submit the brief directly to the AAO.

Counsel, here, did not request any additional time beyond the 30 days listed on Form I-290B.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. Counsel here has not specifically identified any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact.

Counsel here has not specifically addressed the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.