

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy
PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

B6



FILE:  Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: **'AUG 13 2010**

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Immigrant petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

1. The first part of the document
is a list of the names of the
members of the committee.
The names are listed in
alphabetical order.

DISCUSSION: The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and now is before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be sustained.

The petitioner is a software development and service firm. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a programmer analyst. As required by statute, a Form ETA 750,¹ Application for Alien Employment Certification approved by the Department of Labor (DOL), accompanied the petition. Upon reviewing the petition, the director determined that the beneficiary did not satisfy the minimum level of education stated on the labor certification. Specifically, the director determined that the beneficiary did not possess a four-year bachelor's degree as required on the Form ETA 750. Accordingly, the director denied the petition on February 28, 2008.

The record shows that the appeal is properly filed, timely and makes a specific allegation of error in law or fact. The procedural history in this case is documented by the record and incorporated into the decision. Further elaboration of the procedural history will be made only as necessary.

The AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis. *See Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004). The AAO considers all pertinent evidence in the record, including new evidence properly submitted upon appeal.²


Section 203(b)(3)(A)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)(A)(i), provides for the granting of preference classification to qualified immigrants who are capable, at the time of petitioning for classification under this paragraph, of performing skilled labor (requiring at least two years training or experience), not of a temporary or seasonal nature, for which qualified workers are not available in the United States. Section 203(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act provides for the granting of preference classification to qualified immigrants who, at the time of petitioning for classification under this paragraph, are professionals.

To be eligible for approval, a beneficiary must have all the education, training, and experience specified on the labor certification as of the petition's priority date. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(1), (12). *See also Matter of Wing's Tea House*, 16 I&N Dec. 158, 159 (Acting Reg. Comm. 1977); *Matter of Katigbak*, 14 I&N Dec. 45, 49 (Reg. Comm. 1971). Here, the ETA Form 750 was accepted for processing on March 25, 2005. The Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker (Form I-140) was filed on February 8, 2007.

The job qualifications for the certified position of computer programmer are found on Form ETA 750 Part A. Item 13 describes the job duties to be performed as follows:

¹ After March 28, 2005, the correct form to apply for labor certification is the Form ETA 9089.

² The submission of additional evidence on appeal is allowed by the instructions to the Form I-290B, which are incorporated into the regulations by the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(1). The record in the instant case provides no reason to preclude consideration of any of the documents newly submitted on appeal. *See Matter of Soriano*, 19 I&N Dec. 764 (BIA 1988).



Assessing requirements for software application and systems; from [sic] requirements, developing specifications and providing analysis, design, development, testing and documentation of programs; evaluate user request and software requirements for new and modified programs.

Regarding the minimum level of education and experience required for the proffered position in this matter, Part A of the labor certification reflects the following requirements:

Block 14:

Education (number of years)

Grade school	C
High school	C
College	4
College Degree Required	Bachelor's degree or foreign academic equivalent
Major Field of Study	Computer Engineering, Computer Science, Computer Information Systems, Management Information Systems, Information Security, any Engineering field, Mathematics, Statistics, Physical Sciences, or Natural Sciences


Experience:

Job Offered	0 years
(or)	
Related Occupation	1 year as programmer, systems analyst, programmer analyst, software engineer, IT consultant, data base administrator or related experience

Block 15:

Other Special Requirements [blank]

As set forth above, the proffered position requires four years of college culminating in a Bachelor's degree in Computer Engineering, Computer Science, Computer Information Systems, Management Information Systems, Information Security, any Engineering field, Mathematics, Statistics, Physical Sciences, or Natural Sciences and one year of experience as programmer, systems analyst, programmer analyst, software engineer, IT consultant, data base administrator or related experience. The labor certification did not provide any alternative to the education or experience requirements found in Block 14.



On the Form ETA-750B, signed by the beneficiary on March 24, 2005, the beneficiary listed his prior education as: Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, Haryana, India from April 1989 to March 1992 in the field of Commerce, culminating in the receipt of a Bachelor of Commerce; and that he attended University of Windsor, Windsor, Ontario, Canada in the field of Computer Science from September 1997 to December 2000, culminating in the receipt of a Bachelor of Computer Science.

In corroboration of the beneficiary's educational background, the petitioner provided a copy of the beneficiary's Bachelor of Computer Science (Honours) from the University of Windsor along with the corresponding transcripts and the beneficiary's Bachelor of Commerce degree from Kurukshetra University with results from the matriculation examination. The petitioner also provided an evaluation report from [REDACTED] of Morningside Evaluations and Consulting.

Part A of the Form ETA 750 indicates that DOL assigned the occupational code of 15-1051, computer systems analyst, to the proffered position. DOL assigns such codes based on normalized occupational standards. According to DOL's public online database at <http://online.onetcenter.org/link/summary/15-1021.00> (accessed March 23, 2010) and its description of the position and requirements for the position most analogous to the petitioner's proffered position, the position falls within Job Zone Four requiring considerable preparation for the occupation type closest to the proffered position.


According to DOL, two to four years of work-related skill, knowledge, or experience are needed for Job Zone 4 occupations. DOL assigns a standard vocational preparation (SVP) range of 7-8 to Job Zone 4 occupations, which means "[m]ost of these occupations require a four-year bachelor's degree, but some do not." See <http://online.onetcenter.org/link/summary/15-1021.00> (accessed June 28, 2010). Additionally, DOL states the following concerning the training and overall experience required for these occupations:

A minimum of two to four years of work-related skill, knowledge, or experience is needed for these occupations. For example, an accountant must complete four years of college and work for several years in accounting to be considered qualified. Employees in these occupations usually need several years of work-related experience, on-the-job training, and/or vocational training.

See id. Because of the requirements of the proffered position and DOL's standard occupational requirements, the proffered position is for a professional, but might also be considered under the skilled worker category.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(l)(3)(ii)(C) states the following:

If the petition is for a professional, the petition must be accompanied by evidence that the alien holds a United States baccalaureate degree or a foreign equivalent degree and by evidence that the alien is a member of the professions. Evidence of a baccalaureate degree shall be in the form of an official college or university



record showing the date the baccalaureate degree was awarded and the area of concentration of study. To show that the alien is a member of the professions, the petitioner must submit evidence that the minimum of a baccalaureate degree is required for entry into the occupation.

The above regulation uses a singular description of foreign equivalent degree. Thus, the plain meaning of the regulatory language concerning the professional classification sets forth the requirement that a beneficiary must produce one degree that is determined to be the foreign equivalent of a U.S. baccalaureate degree in order to be qualified as a professional for third preference visa category purposes.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. 204(5)(1)(3)(ii)(B) states the following:

If the petition is for a skilled worker, the petition must be accompanied by evidence that the alien meets the educational, training or experience, and any other requirements of the individual labor certification, meets the requirements for Schedule A designation, or meets the requirements for the Labor Market Information Pilot Program occupation designation. The minimum requirements for this classification are at least two years of training or experience.

The above regulation requires that the alien meet the requirements of the labor certification.

Because the petition's proffered position qualifies for consideration under both the professional and skilled worker categories, the AAO will apply the regulatory requirements from both provisions to the facts of the case at hand, beginning with the professional category.


Initially, however, we will provide an explanation of the general process of procuring an employment-based immigrant visa and the roles and respective authority of both agencies involved.

As noted above, the Form ETA 750 in this matter is certified by DOL. Thus, at the outset, it is useful to discuss DOL's role in this process. Section 212(a)(5)(A)(i) of the Act provides:

In general.-Any alien who seeks to enter the United States for the purpose of performing skilled or unskilled labor is inadmissible, unless the Secretary of Labor has determined and certified to the Secretary of State and the Attorney General that-

(I) there are not sufficient workers who are able, willing, qualified (or equally qualified in the case of an alien described in clause (ii)) and available at the time of application for a visa and admission to the United States and at the place where the alien is to perform such skilled or unskilled labor, and

(II) the employment of such alien will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of workers in the United States similarly employed.



It is significant that none of the above inquiries assigned to DOL, or the remaining regulations implementing these duties under 20 C.F.R. § 656, involve a determination as to whether the position and the alien are qualified for a specific immigrant classification. This fact has not gone unnoticed by Federal Circuit Courts.

There is no doubt that the authority to make preference classification decisions rests with INS. The language of section 204 cannot be read otherwise. *See Castaneda-Gonzalez v. INS*, 564 F.2d 417, 429 (D.C. Cir. 1977). In turn, DOL has the authority to make the two determinations listed in section 212(a)(14).³ *Id.* at 423. The necessary result of these two grants of authority is that section 212(a)(14) determinations are not subject to review by INS absent fraud or willful misrepresentation, but all matters relating to preference classification eligibility not expressly delegated to DOL remain within INS' authority.

* * *

Given the language of the Act, the totality of the legislative history, and the agencies' own interpretations of their duties under the Act, we must conclude that Congress did not intend DOL to have primary authority to make any determinations other than the two stated in section 212(a)(14). If DOL is to analyze alien qualifications, it is for the purpose of "matching" them with those of corresponding United States workers so that it will then be "in a position to meet the requirement of the law," namely the section 212(a)(14) determinations.

Madany v. Smith, 696 F.2d 1008, 1012-1013 (D.C. Cir. 1983).

Relying in part on *Madany*, 696 F.2d at 1008, the Ninth circuit stated:

[I]t appears that the DOL is responsible only for determining the availability of suitable American workers for a job and the impact of alien employment upon the domestic labor market. It does not appear that the DOL's role extends to determining if the alien is qualified for the job for which he seeks sixth preference status. That determination appears to be delegated to the INS under section 204(b), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(b), as one of the determinations incident to the INS's decision whether the alien is entitled to sixth preference status.

K.R.K. Irvine, Inc. v. Landon, 699 F.2d 1006, 1008 (9th Cir. 1983). The court relied on an amicus brief from DOL that stated the following:

The labor certification made by the Secretary of Labor ... pursuant to section 212(a)(14) of the ... [Act] ... is binding as to the findings of whether there are able,

³ Based on revisions to the Act, the current citation is section 212(a)(5)(A) as set forth above.



willing, qualified, and available United States workers for the job offered to the alien, and whether employment of the alien under the terms set by the employer would adversely affect the wages and working conditions of similarly employed United States workers. *The labor certification in no way indicates that the alien offered the certified job opportunity is qualified (or not qualified) to perform the duties of that job.*

(Emphasis added.) *Id.* at 1009. The Ninth Circuit, citing *K.R.K. Irvine, Inc.*, 699 F.2d at 1006, revisited this issue, stating:


The Department of Labor ("DOL") must certify that insufficient domestic workers are available to perform the job and that the alien's performance of the job will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of similarly employed domestic workers. *Id.* § 212(a)(14), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(14). The INS then makes its own determination of the alien's entitlement to sixth preference status. *Id.* § 204(b), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(b). See generally *K.R.K. Irvine, Inc. v. Landon*, 699 F.2d 1006, 1008 9th Cir.1983).

The INS, therefore, may make a de novo determination of whether the alien is in fact qualified to fill the certified job offer.

Tongatapu Woodcraft Hawaii, Ltd. v. Feldman, 736 F. 2d 1305, 1309 (9th Cir. 1984).

Therefore, it is DOL's responsibility to certify the terms of the labor certification, but it is the responsibility of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) to determine if the petition and the alien beneficiary are eligible for the classification sought. For classification as a member of the professions, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(l)(3)(ii)(C) requires that the alien had a U.S. baccalaureate degree or a foreign equivalent degree and be a member of the professions. Additionally, the regulation requires the submission of "an official *college or university* record showing the date the baccalaureate degree was awarded and the area of concentration of study." (Emphasis added.)

In 1991, when the final rule for 8 C.F.R. § 204.5 was published in the Federal Register, the Immigration and Naturalization Service (the Service), responded to criticism that the regulation required an alien to have a bachelor's degree as a minimum and that the regulation did not allow for the substitution of experience for education. After reviewing section 121 of the Immigration Act of 1990, Pub. L. 101-649 (1990), and the Joint Explanatory Statement of the Committee of Conference, the Service specifically noted that both the Act and the legislative history indicate that an alien must have at least a bachelor's degree: "[B]oth the Act and its legislative history make clear that, in order to qualify as a professional under the third classification or to have experience equating to an advanced degree under the second, *an alien must have at least a bachelor's degree.*" 56 Fed. Reg. 60897, 60900 (November 29, 1991)(emphasis added).




Moreover, it is significant that both the statute, section 203(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act, and relevant regulations use the word “degree” in relation to professionals. A statute should be construed under the assumption that Congress intended it to have purpose and meaningful effect. *Mountain States Tel. & Tel. v. Pueblo of Santa Ana*, 472 U.S. 237, 249 (1985); *Sutton v. United States*, 819 F.2d 1289m 1295 (5th Cir. 1987). It can be presumed that Congress’ narrow requirement in of a “degree” for members of the professions is deliberate. Significantly, in another context, Congress has broadly referenced “the possession of a degree, diploma, certificate, or similar award from a college, university, school, or other institution of learning.” Section 203(b)(2)(C) (relating to aliens of exceptional ability). Thus, the requirement at section 203(b)(3)(A)(ii) that an eligible alien both have a baccalaureate “degree” and be a member of the professions reveals that a member of the professions must have a *degree* and that a diploma or certificate from an institution of learning other than a college or university is a potentially similar but distinct type of credential. Thus, even if we did not require “a” degree that is the foreign equivalent of a U.S. baccalaureate degree, we would not consider education earned at an institution other than a college or university.

There is no provision in the statute or the regulations that would allow a beneficiary to qualify under section 203(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act with anything less than a full baccalaureate degree. A United States baccalaureate degree is generally found to require four years of education. *Matter of Shah*, 17 I&N Dec. 244 (Reg. Comm. 1977). The term “bachelor’s degree” is understood to mean a “United States baccalaureate degree or a foreign equivalent degree” unless the petitioner states an equivalent on the Form ETA 750 and expresses the same in its advertisements for the job.

We are cognizant of the recent decision in *Grace Korean United Methodist Church v. Michael Chertoff*, 437 F. Supp. 2d 1174 (D. Or. 2005), which finds that USCIS “does not have the authority or expertise to impose its strained definition of ‘B.A. or equivalent’ on that term as set forth in the labor certification.” In contrast to the broad precedential authority of the case law of a United States circuit court, the AAO is not bound to follow the published decision of a United States district court in matters arising within the same district. *See Matter of K-S-*, 20 I&N Dec. 715 (BIA 1993). Although the reasoning underlying a district judge’s decision will be given due consideration when it is properly before the AAO, the analysis does not have to be followed as a matter of law. *Id.* at 719. The court in *Grace Korean* makes no attempt to distinguish its holding from the Circuit Court decisions cited above. Instead, as legal support for its determination, the court cited to a case holding that the United States Postal Service has no expertise or special competence in immigration matters. *Grace Korean United Methodist Church*, 437 F. Supp. 2d at 1179 (citing *Tovar v. U.S. Postal Service*, 3 F.3d 1271, 1276 (9th Cir. 1993)). On its face, *Tovar* is easily distinguishable from the present matter since USCIS, through the authority delegated by the Secretary of Homeland Security, is charged by statute with the enforcement of the United States immigration laws and not with the delivery of mail. *See* section 103(a) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1103(a).

Additionally, we also note the recent decision in *Snapnames.com, Inc. v. Michael Chertoff*, 2006 WL 3491005 (D. Or. Nov. 30, 2006). In that case, the labor certification application specified an educational requirement of four years of college and a ‘B.S. or foreign equivalent.’ The district court determined that ‘B.S. or foreign equivalent’ relates solely to the alien’s educational



background, precluding consideration of the alien's combined education and work experience. *Snapnames.com, Inc.* at *11-13. Additionally, the court determined that the word 'equivalent' in the employer's educational requirements was ambiguous and that in the context of skilled worker petitions (where there is no statutory educational requirement), deference must be given to the employer's intent. *Snapnames.com, Inc.* at *14. However, in professional and advanced degree cases, where the beneficiary is statutorily required to hold a baccalaureate degree, the USCIS properly concluded that a single foreign degree or its equivalent is required. *Snapnames.com, Inc.* at *17, 19.

In determining whether the beneficiary possessed a U.S. bachelor's degree in science or a foreign equivalent degree, we have reviewed the Electronic Database for Global Education (EDGE) created by the American Association of Collegiate Registrars and Admissions Officer (AACRAO). AACRAO, according to its website, www.aacrao.org, is "a nonprofit, voluntary, professional association of more than 10,000 higher education admissions and registration professionals who represent approximately 2,500 institutions in more than 30 countries." Its mission "is to provide professional development, guidelines and voluntary standards to be used by higher education officials regarding the best practices in records management, admissions, enrollment management, administrative information technology and student services." According to the registration page for EDGE, <http://aacraoedge.aacrao.org/register/index/php>, EDGE is "a web-based resource for the evaluation of foreign educational credentials."

EDGE provides a great deal of information about the educational system in Canada, and provides that a bachelor's degree may be awarded after either three or four years of study. A three-year Bachelor's degree is the foreign equivalent of three years of university study in the United States while a four-year bachelor's degree is the foreign equivalent degree of a United States baccalaureate. An Honours bachelor's degree is the foreign equivalent of a United States baccalaureate.

The issue before us is whether the beneficiary meets the job requirements of the proffered job as set forth on the labor certification. The regulations specifically require the submission of such evidence for this classification. 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(1)(3)(B) ("the petition must be accompanied by evidence that the alien meets the educational, training or experience, and any other requirements of the individual labor certification"). As noted above, the ETA 750 in this matter is certified by DOL.

The beneficiary possesses an Indian three-year bachelor's degree and a Canadian bachelor degree (Honours). Thus, the issues are whether either degree alone is equivalent to a U.S. baccalaureate degree. We must also consider whether the beneficiary meets the job requirements of the proffered job as set forth on the labor certification.

The key to determining the job qualifications is found on Form ETA-750 Part A. This section of the application for alien labor certification, "Offer of Employment," describes the terms and conditions of the job offered. It is important that the ETA-750 be read as a whole. The instructions for the Form ETA 750A, item 14, provide:



Minimum Education, Training, and Experience Required to Perform the Job Duties. Do not duplicate the time requirements. For example, time required in training should not also be listed in education or experience. Indicate whether months or years are required. Do not include restrictive requirements which are not actual business necessities for performance on the job and which would limit consideration of otherwise qualified U.S. workers.

Moreover, to determine whether a beneficiary is eligible for a preference immigrant visa, USCIS must ascertain whether the alien is, in fact, qualified for the certified job. USCIS will not accept a degree equivalency or an unrelated degree when a labor certification plainly and expressly requires a candidate with a specific degree. In evaluating the beneficiary's qualifications, USCIS must look to the job offer portion of the labor certification to determine the required qualifications for the position. USCIS may not ignore a term of the labor certification, nor may it impose additional requirements. See *Matter of Silver Dragon Chinese Restaurant*, 19 I&N Dec. 401, 406 (Comm. 1986). See also, *Madany*, 696 F.2d at 1008; *K.R.K. Irvine, Inc.*, 699 F.2d at 1006; *Stewart Infra-Red Commissary of Massachusetts, Inc. v. Coomey*, 661 F.2d 1 (1st Cir. 1981).

Where the job requirements in a labor certification are not otherwise unambiguously prescribed, e.g., by professional regulation, USCIS must examine "the language of the labor certification job requirements" in order to determine what the petition beneficiary must demonstrate to be found qualified for the position. *Madany*, 696 F.2d at 1015. The only rational manner by which USCIS can be expected to interpret the meaning of terms used to describe the requirements of a job in a labor certification is to "examine the certified job offer *exactly* as it is completed by the prospective employer." *Rosedale Linden Park Company v. Smith*, 595 F. Supp. 829, 833 (D.D.C. 1984)(emphasis added). USCIS's interpretation of the job's requirements, as stated on the labor certification must involve "reading and applying *the plain language* of the [labor certification application form]." *Id.* at 834 (emphasis added). USCIS cannot and should not reasonably be expected to look beyond the plain language of the labor certification that DOL has formally issued or otherwise attempt to divine the employer's intentions through some sort of reverse engineering of the labor certification.

In this case, the transcripts submitted regarding the beneficiary's study at the University of Windsor show that the beneficiary received transfer credits for his foreign degree studies and earned additional credits in three years of study at the Canadian university resulting in a Bachelor of Computer Science (Honours). The evaluation that the petitioner submitted from Mr. [REDACTED] states that the Canadian University accepted 39 transfer credits from the Indian University and then the beneficiary earned an additional 106 credits for a total of 145 credits. The University's website indicates that a Bachelor of Computer Science (Honours) degree is a four-year program.⁴ The beneficiary's degree and transcripts submitted clearly state "Honours Computer Science." EDGE confirms that an Honours Bachelor's degree represents attainment of a level of education

⁴ The University's website additionally states that a Bachelor of Computer Science (general) is a three-year program of study.



comparable to a bachelor's degree in the United States. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(1)(3)(B) provides that a petition for an alien in this classification "must be accompanied by evidence that the alien meets the educational, training or experience, and other requirements of the individual labor certification." As noted previously, the certified Form ETA 750 requires a Bachelor's degree in computer engineering, computer science, computer information systems, management information systems, information security, engineering, math, statistics, physical sciences or the natural sciences, and one year of experience as a programmer, systems analyst, programmer analyst, software engineer, IT consultant, database administrator, or other related field. As the University of Windsor accepted part of the beneficiary's foreign studies for transfer credit, the Honours Bachelor's degree, as confirmed by the evaluation and EDGE, represents a four-year bachelor's degree. Therefore, the AAO finds that the beneficiary holds a single-source four-year foreign equivalent to a U.S. bachelor's degree in computer science and thus, meets the educational requirements specifically set forth on the certified labor certification as a professional in the instant case. This ground of the director's denial is withdrawn.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, the petitioner met that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is sustained. The petition is approved.



Credential **Honours Bachelor`s degree**

[Print](#)

Description:

Awarded after completion of a 4-year post-secondary program. *Now known as a Bachelor's degree.*

Advice to Admissions Officers:


An Honours Bachelor's degree represents attainment of a level of education comparable to a bachelor's degree in the United States.

Required For Admission to Honours Bachelor`s degree / Honours Bachelor`s degree Leads To Bachelor of Science in Pharmacy (BScPharm)

Entry requirement: Ontario Secondary School Diploma and 6 Ontario Academic Courses or a Bachelor's degree plus completion of a "qualifying year".

Leads to: Employment or further education.

[Close](#)



Credential **Bachelor`s degree (4 year)**

[Print](#)

Description:

Awarded after completion of a 4-year post-secondary program at a college or university.

Advice to Admissions Officers:

The Bachelor's degree represents attainment of a level of education comparable to a bachelor's degree in the United States.

Required For Admission to Bachelor`s degree (4 year) / Bachelor`s degree (4 year) Leads To Honours Bachelor`s degree

Entry requirement: Ontario Secondary School Diploma with an average of 60% and at least 6 Grade 12 4U or 4M courses.

Leads to: Employment or further education.

[Close](#)



Credential **Bachelor`s degree (3 year)**

[Print](#)

Description:

Awarded after completion of a 3-year post-secondary program.

Advice to Admissions Officers:

A Bachelor's degree is comparable to 3 years of university study in the United States. Credit may be awarded on a course-by-course basis.

Required For Admission to Bachelor`s degree (3 year) / Bachelor`s degree (3 year) Leads To Bachelor`s degree (4 year)

Entry requirement: Ontario Secondary School Diploma and 6 Ontario Academic Courses .

Leads to: Employment or further education.

Sample Documents:

Sample Document

Sample Document Name



3 Year Bachelor's Degree Transcript

[Close](#)

