



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services


identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**PUBLIC COPY**


B6



FILE: Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: **JAN 07 2010**  
WAC 06 104 53191

IN RE: Petitioner:   
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Immigrant petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:  


INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

  
Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, California Service Center, denied the immigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The petitioner is in the jewelry business. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a jeweler pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3). The petition was not accompanied by an original certification by the Department of Labor as required by statute. The director denied the petition for this reason.

The AAO's appellate jurisdiction is set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 103.1(f)(3)(E)(iii) (2003) which provides for appellate jurisdiction over decisions on petitions for employment-based visa classifications or special immigrants or entrepreneurs pursuant to 8 C.F.R. §§ 204.5 and 204.6 except when the denial of the petition is based upon lack of a certification by the Secretary of Labor under section 212(a)(5)(A) of the Act. In this matter, the petitioner was denied because of the lack of an original labor certification entitling the petitioner to the benefit sought. Accordingly, as there is no appeal from such a denial, the AAO has no jurisdiction to issue a decision in this case and the appeal must be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.