

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

B6

FILE:

LIN 07 008 50782

Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date: MAR 02 2010

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Nebraska Service Center (director), denied the immigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner operates a medical services business and seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a registered nurse, a professional or skilled worker pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3).

Section 203(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)(A)(ii), provides for the granting of preference classification to qualified immigrants who hold baccalaureate degrees and who are members of the professions. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(l)(2), and section 203(b)(3)(A)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)(A)(i), provides for the granting of preference classification to qualified immigrants who are capable, at the time of petitioning for classification under this paragraph, of performing skilled labor (requiring at least two years training or experience), not of a temporary nature, for which qualified workers are not available in the United States. *See also* 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(l)(3)(ii).

The petitioner has applied for the beneficiary under a blanket labor certification pursuant to 20 C.F.R. § 656.5, Schedule A, Group I. *See also* 20 C.F.R. § 656.15. Schedule A is the list of occupations set forth at 20 C.F.R. § 656.5 with respect to which the Department of Labor (DOL) has determined that there are not sufficient United States workers who are able, willing, qualified and available, and that the employment of aliens in such occupations will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of United States workers similarly employed.

Based on 8 C.F.R. §§ 204.5(a)(2) and (l)(3)(i) an applicant for a Schedule A position would file Form I-140, “accompanied by any required individual labor certification, application for Schedule A designation, or evidence that the alien’s occupation qualifies as a shortage occupation within the Department of Labor’s Labor Market Information Pilot Program.”¹ The priority date of any petition filed for classification under section 203(b) of the Act “shall be the date the completed, signed petition (including all initial evidence and the correct fee) is properly filed with [U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS)].” 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(d). Here, the petitioner filed the I-140 petition on September 12, 2006.

Pursuant to the regulations set forth in Title 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the filing must include evidence of prearranged employment for the alien beneficiary. The employment is evidenced by the employer’s completion of the job offer description on the application form and evidence that the employer has provided appropriate notice of filing the Application for Alien Employment Certification

¹ On March 28, 2005, pursuant to 20 C.F.R. § 656.17, the Application for Permanent Employment Certification, ETA 9089 replaced the Application for Alien Employment Certification, Form ETA 750. The new Form ETA 9089 was introduced in connection with the re-engineered permanent foreign labor certification program (PERM), which was published in the Federal Register on December 27, 2004 with an effective date of March 28, 2005. *See* 69 Fed. Reg. 77326 (Dec. 27, 2004).

to the bargaining representative or to the employer's employees as set forth in 20 C.F.R. § 656.10(d). Also, according to 20 C.F.R. § 656.15(c)(2), aliens who will be permanently employed as professional nurses must have: (1) passed the Commission on Graduates of Foreign Nursing Schools (CGFNS) Examination; or (2) hold a full and unrestricted license to practice professional nursing in the [s]tate of intended employment; or (3) that the alien has passed the National Council Licensure Examination for Registered Nurses (NCLEX-RN).

On August 3, 2007, the director denied the petition because the petitioner failed to properly post the position in accordance with 20 C.F.R. § 656.10(d)(1). Specifically, the director found that the petitioner failed to post the notice for the requisite ten consecutive business days to allow notice to prospective U.S. workers.

The AAO takes a *de novo* look at issues raised in the denial of this petition. *See Dor v. INS*, 891 F.2d 997, 1002 n. 9 (2d Cir. 1989) (noting that the AAO reviews appeals on a *de novo* basis). The AAO considers all pertinent evidence in the record, including new evidence properly submitted upon appeal.²

The record shows that the appeal is properly filed, timely and makes an allegation of error in law or fact. The procedural history in this case is documented by the record and incorporated into the decision. Further elaboration of the procedural history will be made only as necessary.

On appeal, counsel asserts that the petitioner committed a *de minimis* clerical error by not posting the notice for the requisite ten business days. Counsel has also submitted a letter from the petitioner stating it continuously posts notices such as this one for registered nurses. Counsel concludes by asserting that it will suffer a hardship if the beneficiary is not able to work for its business, as there is a shortage of nurses.

A petitioner must establish eligibility at the time of filing. *See Matter of Katigbak*, 14 I&N Dec. 45, 49 (Comm. 1971). A petitioner may not make material changes to a petition in an effort to make a deficient petition conform to USCIS requirements. *See Matter of Izummi*, 22 I&N Dec. 169, 176 (Assoc. Comm. 1988).

One of the requirements to meet Schedule A eligibility is that the petitioner is required to post the position in accordance with 20 C.F.R. § 656.10(d), which provides:

- (1) In applications filed under § 656.15 (Schedule A), § 656.16 (Shepherders), § 656.17 (Basic Process); § 656.18 (College and University Teachers), and § 656.21 (Supervised Recruitment), the employer must give notice of the filing of the Application for

² The submission of additional evidence on appeal is allowed by the instructions to the Form I-290B, which are incorporated into the regulations by the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(1). *See Matter of Soriano*, 19 I&N Dec. 764 (BIA 1988).

Permanent Employment Certification and be able to document that notice was provided, if requested by the certifying officer as follows:

...

(ii) If there is no such bargaining representative, by posted notice to the employer's employees at the facility or location of the employment. The notice must be posted for at least 10 consecutive business days. The notice must be clearly visible and unobstructed while posted and must be posted in conspicuous places where the employer's U.S. workers can readily read the posted notice on their way to or from their place of employment . . . In addition, the employer must publish the notice in any and all in-house media, whether electronic or printed, in accordance with the normal procedures used for the recruitment of similar positions in the employer's organization.

...

(3) The notice of the filing of an Application for Permanent Employment Certification must:

(i) State the notice is being provided as a result of the filing of an application for permanent alien labor certification for the relevant job opportunity;

(ii) State any person may provide documentary evidence bearing on the application to the Certifying Officer of the Department of Labor;

(iii) Provide the address of the appropriate Certifying Officer; and (iv) Be provided between 30 and 180 days before filing the application.

...

(6) If an application is filed under the Schedule A procedures . . . the notice must contain a description of the job and rate of pay, and must meet the requirements of this section.

Additionally, section 212(a)(5)(A)(i) of the Act states the following:

Any alien who seeks to enter the United States for the purpose of performing skilled or unskilled labor is inadmissible, unless the Secretary of Labor has determined and certified . . . that

(I) there are not sufficient workers who are able, willing, qualified . . . and available at the time of application for a visa and

- admission to the United States and at the place where the alien is to perform such skilled or unskilled labor, and
- (II) the employment of such alien will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of workers in the U.S. similarly employed.

Fundamental to these provisions is the need to ensure that there are no qualified U.S. workers available for the position prior to filing. The required posting notice seeks to allow any person with evidence related to the application to notify the appropriate DOL officer prior to petition filing. *See* the Immigration Act of 1990, Pub.L. No. 101-649, 122(b)(1), 1990 Stat. 358 (1990); *see also* Labor Certification Process for the Permanent Employment of Aliens in the United States and Implementation of the Immigration Act of 1990, 56 Fed. Reg. 32,244 (July 15, 1991).

The AAO notes that counsel has submitted an unsigned letter from the petitioner stating that it continuously posts notices for its registered nurse positions and that it therefore has provided ample evidence of the position. The letter states that “[o]ur practice is to post a separate notice for each [r]egistered [n]urse position . . . however, as we always have registered nurse vacancies, we continuously have active postings for registered nurses.” The AAO finds that postings for different petition filings may not be assumed to provide the requisite data to U.S. workers about the specific job opportunity.

The posting notice initially submitted with the petition is deficient as the certification states that it was posted from July 26, 2006 to August 7, 2006, which is not for the required time period of ten consecutive business days as July 29, July 30, August 5, and August 6 were weekend days.

The DOL website in its “Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)” contains a definition relevant to the calculation of “ten consecutive business days:”

Time Periods are the number of days during which an activity must take place. Examples of time periods are the requirement a job order must be placed for 30 days and the requirement that a Notice of Filing must be posted for ten consecutive business days. When counting a time period, both the start date and end date are included in the count. Thus, if a job order is on the State Workforce Agency web site from February 1, 2007, through March 8, 2007, February 1st, is day 1, February 2nd, is day 2, March 2nd, is day number 30, March 8th, is day number 36.

...

As another example, the regulation requires a Notice of Filing posting for a time period of ten consecutive business days. If the order is posted on Monday, April 30, 2007, Monday is day 1, Friday, May 4th, is day 5; the following Monday, May 7th, is day 6; and Friday, May 11th, is day 10. May 11th, is the last day of this time period and is therefore defined as the event and is not counted when calculating the 30 day restriction prior to filing timeline. . . .

Examples of the earliest filing date permissible for a particular Notice of Filing

posting or job order placement date are as follows:

If the Notice of Filing is posted on Thursday, June 28, 2007, the posting dates must be June 28 – July 12, and the earliest filing date permissible is Saturday, August 11, 2007, (the notice of filing must be posted for "ten consecutive business days" and, therefore, neither weekends nor the Fourth of July are counted).

See <http://www.foreignlaborcert.doleta.gov/faqsanswers.cfm#timeframes5> (accessed February 25, 2010). Under this definition, weekend days cannot be counted in the calculation regarding if the petitioner posted its notice for ten consecutive business days. As the time period that the notice was posted by the petitioner includes four weekend days, the petitioner failed to demonstrate that it posted the notice for ten consecutive business days as defined by the DOL.

On appeal, counsel asserts that the petitioner committed a de minimis clerical error by not posting the notice for the requisite ten business days. Counsel has also submitted a letter from the petitioner stating it continuously posts notices such as this one for registered nurses. Counsel concludes by asserting that it will suffer a hardship if the beneficiary is not able to work for its business, as there is a shortage of nurses. As counsel notes, the nursing shortage is recognized in the regulations by providing for Schedule A occupations, which speeds the labor certification process, however, the requirements specified in the regulations serve an identified purpose which may not be ignored. While the petitioner's need for a nurse is not in doubt, the requirements in the regulations ensure that the petitioner's need is adequately weighed against the overall policy concern that U.S. workers not be adversely affected by the filing of a labor certification. *See* 20 C.F.R. § 656.1(a). These requirements protect U.S. workers and require the petitioner to meet established regulations in order to have petitions approved.

The AAO notes that counsel has only submitted a brief and an unsigned statement by the petitioner on appeal. This statement was not made under the penalty of perjury, nor was it notarized. Counsel provided no evidence to corroborate the claim that these notices are continually posted. No copies of these other postings were provided. Moreover, the petitioner has claimed that it posts separate notices for each available nurse position, so other postings and practices are not relevant to this case. In the absence of concrete proof of notice, the AAO only has the notice provided in the initial filing to consider. That notice was not posted for the requisite ten business days.

Based on the foregoing, the posting notice remains deficient and is not in compliance with 20 C.F.R. § 656.10(d). Therefore, the basis for denial has not been overcome.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.