

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

DATE:

AUG 29 2012

OFFICE: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER FILE:

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew for

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Texas Service Center (director), denied the employment-based immigrant visa petition, which is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The petitioner is a medical staffing business. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a registered nurse pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3).¹ Upon reviewing the petition, the director determined that the petitioner failed to establish that a bona fide job offer existed.

The AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis. *See Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004). The AAO considers all pertinent evidence in the record, including new evidence properly submitted upon appeal.²

The petition is for a Schedule A occupation. A Schedule A occupation is an occupation codified at 20 § C.F.R. 656.5(a) for which the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) has determined that there are not sufficient U.S. workers who are able, willing, qualified and available and that the wages and working conditions of similarly employed U.S. workers will not be adversely affected by the employment of aliens in such occupations. The current list of Schedule A occupations includes professional nurses and physical therapists. *Id.*

Petitions for Schedule A occupations do not require the petitioner to test the labor market and obtain a certified ETA Form 9089 from the DOL prior to filing the petition with U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). Instead, the petition is filed directly with USCIS with a duplicate uncertified ETA Form 9089. *See* 8 C.F.R. §§ 204.5(a)(2) and (l)(3)(i); *see also* 20 C.F.R. § 656.15.

Review of the record shows that the petition has not been properly filed, and therefore there is no legitimate basis to continue with this proceeding.

The Form I-140 petition identifies [REDACTED] as the employer and the petitioner. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(2) requires that the petitioner sign the petition. In this instance, no employee or officer of [REDACTED] signed Form I-140. The only signature on the Form I-140 is that of [REDACTED], who purports to be "special counsel" of [REDACTED] signed Part 8 of the Form I-140, "Petitioner's Signature," thereby attempting to file the petition on

¹ Section 203(b)(3)(A)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)(A)(i), grants preference classification to qualified immigrants who are capable of performing skilled labor (requiring at least two years training or experience), not of a temporary nature, for which qualified workers are not available in the United States. Section 203(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)(A)(ii), grants preference classification to qualified immigrants who hold baccalaureate degrees and are members of the professions.

² The submission of additional evidence on appeal is allowed by the instructions to the Form I-290B, which are incorporated into the regulations by 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(1). *See Matter of Soriano*, 19 I&N Dec. 764 (BIA 1988).

behalf of the actual United States employer.³ However, the regulations do not permit who is not the petitioner, to sign Form I-140 on behalf of a United States employer.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(c) states:

Filing petition. Any United States employer desiring and intending to employ an alien may file a petition for classification of the alien under section 203(b)(1)(B), 203(b)(1)(C), 203(b)(2), or 203(b)(3) of the Act. An alien, or any person in the alien's behalf, may file a petition for classification under section 203(b)(1)(A) or 203(b)(4) of the Act (as it relates to special immigrants under section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act).

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(2) states:

Signature. An applicant or petitioner must sign his or her application or petition. However, a parent or legal guardian may sign for a person who is less than 14 years old. A legal guardian may sign for a mentally incompetent person. By signing the application or petition, the applicant or petitioner, or parent or guardian certifies under penalty of perjury that the application or petition, and all evidence submitted with it, either at the time of filing or thereafter, is true and correct. Unless otherwise specified in this chapter, an acceptable signature on an application or petition that is being filed with the [USCIS] is one that is either handwritten or, for applications or petitions filed electronically as permitted by the instructions to the form, in electronic format.

There is no regulatory provision that waives the signature requirement for a petitioning United States employer or that permits a petitioning United States employer to designate a "special counsel," attorney, or accredited representative to sign the petition on behalf of the United States employer. The petition has not been properly filed because the petitioning United States employer, did not sign the petition. Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i), an application or petition which is not properly signed shall be rejected as improperly filed, and no receipt date can be assigned to an improperly filed petition.

³ The AAO issued a request for evidence (RFE) on April 11, 2012 requesting additional evidence that the petitioner filed and supported the petition, that was employed by the petitioner, and of the petitioner's ability to pay the beneficiary as well as its ability to pay multiple beneficiaries. The AAO granted the petitioner 45 days to respond, but no response has been received from the petitioner. The AAO specifically alerted the petitioner that failure to respond to the RFE would result in dismissal since the AAO could not substantively adjudicate the appeal without the information requested. The failure to submit requested evidence that precludes a material line of inquiry shall be grounds for denying the petition. See 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(14). Thus, the appeal may also be dismissed because the petitioner failed to respond to the RFE.

(b)(6)

Page 4

The petition has not been properly filed by a United States employer. Therefore, we must reject the appeal.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.