


(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services




DATE: DEC 21 2012

OFFICE: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

FILE




IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Texas Service Center (director), denied the immigrant visa petition. The petitioner appealed and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be rejected.

The Form I-140 petition was filed on May 18, 2009. The petitioner describes itself as an automobile care center. It seeks to permanently employ the beneficiary in the United States as an automobile mechanic. The petitioner requests classification of the beneficiary as a professional or skilled worker pursuant to section 203(b)(3)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)(A). The petition was filed with a labor certification approved by the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) on behalf of another beneficiary. The director denied the petition because the petitioner had not established that it had the continuing ability to pay the beneficiary the proffered wage beginning on the priority date of the petition.

The AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis. *See Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004). The AAO considers all pertinent evidence in the record, including new evidence properly submitted upon appeal.¹

The labor certification is evidence of an individual alien's admissibility under section 212(a)(5)(A)(i) of the Act, which provides:

In general.-Any alien who seeks to enter the United States for the purpose of performing skilled or unskilled labor is inadmissible, unless the Secretary of Labor has determined and certified to the Secretary of State and the Attorney General that-

- (I) there are not sufficient workers who are able, willing, qualified (or equally qualified in the case of an alien described in clause (ii)) and available at the time of application for a visa and admission to the United States and at the place where the alien is to perform such skilled or unskilled labor, and
- (II) the employment of such alien will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of workers in the United States similarly employed.

The regulation at 20 C.F.R. § 656.11 states the following:

Substitution or change to the identity of an alien beneficiary on any application for permanent labor certification, whether filed under this part or 20 CFR part 656 in effect prior to March 28, 2005, and on any resulting certification, is prohibited for any request to substitute submitted after July 16, 2007.

Additionally, the regulation at 20 C.F.R. § 656.30(c)(2) provides:

¹ The submission of additional evidence on appeal is allowed by the instructions to the Form I-290B, which are incorporated into the regulations by the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(1). *See Matter of Soriano*, 19 I&N Dec. 764 (BIA 1988).

A permanent labor certification involving a specific job offer is valid only for the particular job opportunity, the alien named on the original application (unless a substitution was approved prior to July 16, 2007), and the area of intended employment stated on the *Application for Alien Employment Certification* (Form ETA 750) or the *Application for Permanent Employment Certification* (Form ETA 9089).

The Act does not provide for the substitution of aliens in the permanent labor certification process. As noted above, the regulation at 20 C.F.R. § 656.11(a) prohibits any request to change the identity of an alien beneficiary on any application for permanent labor certification that is submitted after July 16, 2007. All Form I-140 petitions using a substituted beneficiary on an approved labor certification must have been filed before July 16, 2007.

As the filing of the instant Form I-140 petition was after July 16, 2007, the petitioner is not able to substitute the beneficiary.² The petition was, therefore, filed without a valid certified labor certification pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(l)(3)(i).

The Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) delegates the authority to adjudicate appeals to the AAO pursuant to the authority vested in him through the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Pub. L. 107-296. See DHS Delegation Number 0150.1 (effective March 1, 2003); see also 8 C.F.R. § 2.1 (2003). The AAO exercises appellate jurisdiction over the matters described at 8 C.F.R. § 103.1(f)(3)(iii) (as in effect on February 28, 2003). See DHS Delegation Number 0150.1(U) *supra*; 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(iv).

Among the appellate authorities are appeals from denials of petitions for immigrant visa classification based on employment, "except when the denial of the petition is based upon lack of a certification by the Secretary of Labor under section 212(a)(5)(A) of the Act." 8 C.F.R. § 103.1(f)(3)(iii)(B) (2003 ed.).

As alien labor certification substitution is no longer permitted and the petition is not accompanied by a valid labor certification, this office lacks jurisdiction to consider an appeal from the director's decision.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.

²The petitioner previously filed a Form I-140 on July 5, 2007 requesting substitution of the beneficiary named on the labor certification; the petition was denied as abandoned. The petitioner then filed the instant petition on May 18, 2009 requesting substitution of the beneficiary named on the labor certification. However, in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.1(f)(3)(iii)(B), petitioning employers may not file an appeal of USCIS' decision to deny a Form I-140 petition that is filed with an approved labor certification issued by DOL in the name of an alien other than the alien named in the Form I-140 petition.