

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services



BZ

DATE: DEC 17 2012 OFFICE: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

FILE:



IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you.

Ron Rosenberg  
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Texas Service Center (director), denied the immigrant visa petition, which is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed. The AAO will return the matter to the director for consideration as a motion to reopen and reconsider.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party or the attorney or representative of record must submit the complete appeal within 30 days of service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.8(b). The date of filing is not the date of submission, but the date of actual receipt with the required fee. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i).

The director issued the decision on **June 7, 2010**.<sup>1</sup> The director properly gave notice to the petitioner that it had 33 days to file the appeal. Neither the Act nor the pertinent regulations grant the AAO authority to extend this time limit.

The Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, was received by the service center on August 13, 2010 or **67** days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the Director, Texas Service Center. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii).

As the brief in this matter was submitted directly to the AAO in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(viii), the director did not have an opportunity to review the late appeal to determine whether it meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider. Therefore, the matter will be returned to the director. If the director determines that the late appeal meets the requirements of a motion, the motion shall be granted and a new decision will be issued.

As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.

---

<sup>1</sup> On appeal the petitioner stated that the director's decision was not received until July 26, 2010 and as such the time period for filing the appeal should be extended. The petitioner also submitted a mailing envelope with a United States Citizenship and Immigration Service (USCIS) return address post-marked July 22, 2010 as evidence of the date the decision was mailed by USCIS. However, our records indicate that the decision was indeed sent on June 7, 2010. Furthermore, the envelope is not evidence of the date the decision was mailed.