identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[redacted]

B6

Date: JUL 1 0 2012 Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER FILE: [redacted]

In re: Petitioner: Beneficiary: [redacted]

Petition: Immigrant petition for Alien Worker as an Other, Unskilled Worker pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Texas Service Center (director), denied the immigrant visa petition. The petitioner appealed and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be rejected.

The petitioner is a bed and breakfast and leasing firm, and seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a live-in housekeeper/cook, pursuant to section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3). The petition was filed with a labor certification approved by the Department of Labor (DOL) on behalf of another alien. The director denied the petition based on the petitioner's failure to establish its continuing ability to pay the proffered wage.

Section 203(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)(A)(iii), provides for the granting of preference classification to other qualified immigrants who are capable, at the time of petitioning for classification under this paragraph, of performing unskilled labor, not of a temporary or seasonal nature, for which qualified workers are not available in the United States.

The AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis. *See Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004). The AAO considers all pertinent evidence in the record, including new evidence properly submitted upon appeal.[1]

The labor certification is evidence of an individual alien's admissibility under section 212(a)(5)(A)(i) of the Act, which provides:

> In general.-Any alien who seeks to enter the United States for the purpose of performing skilled or unskilled labor is inadmissible, unless the Secretary of Labor has determined and certified to the Secretary of State and the Attorney General that-
>
> > (I) there are not sufficient workers who are able, willing, qualified (or equally qualified in the case of an alien described in clause (ii)) and available at the time of application for a visa and admission to the United States and at the place where the alien is to perform such skilled or unskilled labor, and
> >
> > (II) the employment of such alien will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of workers in the United States similarly employed.

The regulation at 20 C.F.R. § 656.11 states the following:

> Substitution or change to the identity of an alien beneficiary on any application for permanent labor certification, whether filed under this part or 20 CFR part 656

[1] The submission of additional evidence on appeal is allowed by the instructions to the Form I-290B, which are incorporated into the regulations by the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(1). *See Matter of Soriano*, 19 I&N Dec. 764 (BIA 1988).

> in effect prior to March 28, 2005, and on any resulting certification, is prohibited for any request to substitute submitted after July 16, 2007.

Additionally, the regulation at 20 C.F.R. § 656.30(c)(2) provides:

> A permanent labor certification involving a specific job offer is valid only for the particular job opportunity, the alien named on the original application (unless a substitution was approved prior to July 16, 2007), and the area of intended employment stated on the *Application for Alien Employment Certification* (Form ETA 750) or the *Application for Permanent Employment Certification* (Form ETA 9089).

The Act does not provide for the substitution of aliens in the permanent labor certification process. DOL's regulation became effective July 16, 2007 and prohibits the substitution of alien beneficiaries on permanent labor certification applications and resulting certifications, as well as prohibiting the sale, barter, or purchase of permanent labor certifications and applications. The rule continues the Department's efforts to construct a deliberate, coordinated fraud reduction and prevention framework within the permanent labor certification program. *See* 72 Fed. Reg. 27904 (May 17, 2007).

The AAO issued a Notice of Intent to Deny (NOID) based on the filing of the instant case with a substitution approved by DOL on November 9, 2007.[2] Pursuant to 20 C.F.R. § 656.11(a), requests for substitutions submitted after July 16, 2007 are prohibited. The AAO advised that unless evidence was submitted to the contrary, the AAO informed the petitioner that it intended to deny the petition on this basis. The AAO requested that the petitioner submit credible, convincing evidence that it had requested DOL to substitute the instant beneficiary for the original beneficiary on the Form ETA 750 prior to July 16, 2007. The AAO also requested that the petitioner include documentation of its continuing ability to pay the proffered wage. The petitioner was permitted thirty (30) days to submit a response to the NOID. No response has been received by this office.

As the filing of the instant case was after July 16, 2007, the petitioner is not able to substitute the beneficiary. The petition was, therefore, filed without a valid certified labor certification pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(l)(3)(i).[3]

---

[2] DOL initially approved the labor certification on August 30, 2007, and then issued a "corrected" labor certification with the name of the beneficiary changed and the labor certification stamped DOL regional correction November 9, 2007.

[3] An invalid labor certification conveys no jurisdiction to the AAO to render a decision on an appeal and the AAO must reject the appeal. Alternatively, as the petitioner failed to respond to the AAO with any of the additional requested evidence, even if the appeal were not rejected, it would be dismissed based on the petitioner's failure to respond. The petitioner's failure to submit these documents cannot be excused. The failure to submit requested evidence that precludes a material line of inquiry shall be grounds for denying the petition. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(14).

The Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) delegates the authority to adjudicate appeals to the AAO pursuant to the authority vested in him through the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Pub. L. 107-296. *See* DHS Delegation Number 0150.1 (effective March 1, 2003); *see also* 8 C.F.R. § 2.1 (2003). The AAO exercises appellate jurisdiction over the matters described at 8 C.F.R. § 103.1(f)(3)(iii) (as in effect on February 28, 2003). *See* DHS Delegation Number 0150.1(U) *supra*; 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(iv).

Among the appellate authorities are appeals from denials of petitions for immigrant visa classification based on employment, "except when the denial of the petition is based upon lack of a certification by the Secretary of Labor under section 212(a)(5)(A) of the Act." 8 C.F.R. § 103.1(f)(3)(iii)(B) (2003 ed.).

As alien labor certification substitution is no longer permitted and the petition is not accompanied by a valid labor certification, this office lacks jurisdiction to consider an appeal from the director's decision.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.