

(b)(6)


U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090





U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



DATE: **NOV 29 2012** OFFICE: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

FILE: 

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

Elizabeth McCormack

Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Texas Service Center, denied the employment-based immigrant visa petition, which is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The director's decision will be withdrawn. The petition will be remanded.

The petitioner describes itself as a public school system. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as an English teacher. The petitioner requests classification of the beneficiary as a professional or skilled worker pursuant to section 203(b)(3)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)(A).¹

The petition is accompanied by a Form ETA 9089, Application for Permanent Employment Certification, (labor certification), certified by the U.S. Department of Labor (DOL). The priority date of the petition is January 18, 2008.²

As set forth in the director's denial, at issue in this case is whether the beneficiary meets the minimum requirements of the requested classification and of the offered position as set forth in the labor certification.

The record shows that the appeal is properly filed and makes a specific allegation of error in law or fact. The procedural history in this case is documented by the record and incorporated into the decision. Further elaboration of the procedural history will be made only as necessary.

The AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis. *See Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004). The AAO considers all pertinent evidence in the record, including new evidence properly submitted upon appeal.³

Section 203(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)(A)(ii), grants preference classification to qualified immigrants who hold baccalaureate degrees and are members of the professions. *See also* 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(l)(2).

¹ Section 203(b)(3)(A)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)(A)(i), grants preference classification to qualified immigrants who are capable of performing skilled labor (requiring at least two years training or experience), not of a temporary nature, for which qualified workers are not available in the United States. Section 203(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)(A)(ii), also grants preference classification to qualified immigrants who hold baccalaureate degrees and are members of the professions.

² The priority date is the date the DOL accepted the labor certification for processing. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(d).

³ The submission of additional evidence on appeal is allowed by the instructions to Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, which are incorporated into the regulations by 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(1). The record in the instant case provides no reason to preclude consideration of any of the documents newly submitted on appeal. *See Matter of Soriano*, 19 I&N Dec. 764 (BIA 1988).

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(l)(3)(ii)(C) states, in part:

If the petition is for a professional, the petition must be accompanied by evidence that the alien holds a United States baccalaureate degree or a foreign equivalent degree and by evidence that the alien is a member of the professions. Evidence of a baccalaureate degree shall be in the form of an official college or university record showing the date the baccalaureate degree was awarded and the area of concentration of study.

Section 101(a)(32) of the Act defines the term “profession” to include, but is not limited to, “architects, engineers, lawyers, physicians, surgeons, and teachers in elementary or secondary schools, colleges, academies, or seminaries.” If the offered position is not statutorily defined as a profession, “the petitioner must submit evidence showing that the minimum of a baccalaureate degree is required for entry into the occupation.” 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(l)(3)(ii)(C).

In addition, the job offer portion of the labor certification underlying a petition for a professional “must demonstrate that the job requires the minimum of a baccalaureate degree.” 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(l)(3)(i)

The beneficiary must also meet all of the requirements of the offered position set forth on the labor certification by the priority date of the petition. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(1), (12). See *Matter of Wing’s Tea House*, 16 I&N Dec. 158, 159 (Act. Reg. Comm. 1977); see also *Matter of Katigbak*, 14 I&N Dec. 45, 49 (Reg. Comm. 1971).

Therefore, a petition for a professional must establish that the occupation of the offered position is listed as a profession at section 101(a)(32) of the Act or requires a bachelor’s degree as a minimum for entry; the beneficiary possesses a U.S. bachelor’s degree or foreign equivalent degree from a college or university; the job offer portion of the labor certification requires at least a bachelor’s degree or foreign equivalent degree; and the beneficiary meets all of the requirements of the labor certification.

In this case, the labor certification indicates that the position requires a bachelor’s degree or combination of education equivalent to a bachelor’s, with education being listed as the major field of study.

The evidence in the record establishes that the petition requires at a minimum a bachelor degree such that the beneficiary may be found qualified for classification as a professional worker. Therefore, since the petition requires at least a bachelor degree, it was properly filed and qualifies for consideration for preference visa classification under section 203(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.

In support of the beneficiary’s educational qualifications, the petitioner submitted a copy of the beneficiary’s Bachelor of Education degree, and transcripts, from the [REDACTED]. These documents indicate that the beneficiary was awarded a Bachelor of Education on July 1, 2001. The petitioner additionally submitted a credentials evaluation, dated December 4, 2007, from [REDACTED]. The evaluation describes the beneficiary’s Bachelor of Education degree from the [REDACTED].

University of the West Indies as equivalent to a Bachelor of Education degree in the United States.

The AAO has reviewed the Electronic Database for Global Education (EDGE) created by the American Association of Collegiate Registrars and Admissions Officers (AACRAO). According to its website, AACRAO is “a nonprofit, voluntary, professional association of more than 11,000 higher education admissions and registration professionals who represent more than 2,600 institutions and agencies in the United States and in over 40 countries around the world.” See <http://www.aacrao.org/About-AACRAO.aspx>. Its mission “is to serve and advance higher education by providing leadership in academic and enrollment services.” *Id.* EDGE is “a web-based resource for the evaluation of foreign educational credentials.” <http://edge.aacrao.org/info.php>. Authors for EDGE work with a publication consultant and a Council Liaison with AACRAO’s National Council on the Evaluation of Foreign Educational Credentials.⁴ If placement recommendations are included, the Council Liaison works with the author to give feedback and the publication is subject to final review by the entire Council. *Id.* USCIS considers EDGE to be a reliable, peer-reviewed source of information about foreign credentials equivalencies.⁵ EDGE also indicates that a bachelor’s degree in Jamaica represents the attainment of a level of education comparable to a bachelor’s degree in the United States.

From the record, it appears the beneficiary completed a bachelor program. Therefore, based on the conclusions of EDGE, the beneficiary possesses the equivalent of a United States baccalaureate degree or a foreign equivalent degree, and, thus, qualifies for preference visa classification under section 203(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act. As such, the petitioner has overcome the decision of the director.

The petition may not be approved, however, as the record does not establish that the beneficiary is eligible for a teacher’s license in the state of New York as required by the labor certification. As the director did not address this issues, the petition will be remanded in order for the director to

⁴ See *An Author’s Guide to Creating AACRAO International Publications* available at http://www.aacrao.org/Libraries/Publications_Documents/GUIDE_TO_CREATING_INTERNATIONAL_PUBLICATIONS_1.sflb.ashx.

⁵ In *Confluence Intern., Inc. v. Holder*, 2009 WL 825793 (D.Minn. March 27, 2009), the court determined that the AAO provided a rational explanation for its reliance on information provided by AACRAO to support its decision. In *Tisco Group, Inc. v. Napolitano*, 2010 WL 3464314 (E.D.Mich. August 30, 2010), the court found that USCIS had properly weighed the evaluations submitted and the information obtained from EDGE to conclude that the alien’s three-year foreign “baccalaureate” and foreign “Master’s” degree were only comparable to a U.S. bachelor’s degree. In *Sunshine Rehab Services, Inc.* 2010 WL 3325442 (E.D.Mich. August 20, 2010), the court upheld a USCIS determination that the alien’s three-year bachelor’s degree was not a foreign equivalent degree to a U.S. bachelor’s degree. Specifically, the court concluded that USCIS was entitled to prefer the information in EDGE and did not abuse its discretion in reaching its conclusion. The court also noted that the labor certification itself required a degree and did not allow for the combination of education and experience.

determine the petitioner's eligibility for the visa classification.

The labor certification states in Section H.14. that the beneficiary must be eligible for a New York State teaching license. The record contains a copy of the beneficiary's Provisional Public School Teacher Certificate, valid from September 1, 2003 to August 31, 2008, and her Master of Science Degree from

However, according to the New York State Department of Education website, NYSED.gov, the beneficiary has never held a teaching license in the State of New York, and the record contains no evidence to establish she is "eligible" for a New York State teaching license as of the priority date.

In view of the foregoing, the director's decision will be withdrawn. The petition is remanded to the director. The director should request any additional evidence considered pertinent to resolve the deficiencies in the record. Similarly, the petitioner may provide additional evidence within a reasonable period of time to be determined by the director. Upon receipt of all the evidence, the director will review the entire record and enter a new decision.

ORDER: The director's decision is withdrawn; however, the petition is currently not approvable for the reasons discussed above, and therefore the AAO may not approve the petition at this time. Because the petition is not approvable, the petition is remanded to the director for issuance of a new, detailed decision which, if adverse to the petitioner, is to be certified to the Administrative Appeals Office for review.