



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

[REDACTED]

B6

DATE: NOV 28 2019 OFFICE: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Nebraska Service Center, denied the employment-based immigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A)(I).

The petitioner describes itself as an air conditioning sales/service business. It seeks to permanently employ the beneficiary in the United States as an air conditioning mechanic. The petitioner requests classification of the beneficiary as a professional or skilled worker pursuant to section 203(b)(3)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)(A).

The director's decision denying the petition concludes that the petitioner did not establish the ability to pay the proffered wage.

The record of proceeding contains a properly executed Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Accredited Representative, for the beneficiary's representative. Additionally, the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, was signed by counsel. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(iii)(B) specifically prohibits a beneficiary of a visa petition, or a representative acting on a beneficiary's behalf, from filing an appeal. There is no evidence in the record that the petitioner consented to the filing of the appeal.¹

As the appeal was not properly filed, and it is unclear whether or not the petitioner consented to having an appeal filed on its behalf, it will be rejected. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A)(I).

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.

¹ On July 6, 2011, [REDACTED] submitted a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to appeal the director's adverse decision. For appeals and motions filed on or after March 4, 2010, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 292.4(a) requires that a new Form G-28 "must be filed with an appeal filed with the [AAO]." The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 292.4(a) further requires that the Form G-28 "must be properly completed and signed by the petitioner, applicant or respondent to authorize representation in order for the appearance to be recognized by DHS." Counsel only filed a new Form G-28 signed by the beneficiary with the appeal. While the record contains a copy of a Form G-28 completed for the purposes of filing the original petition, it does not contain a properly executed new Form G-28, with a revision date on or after April 22, 2009, signed by both counsel and the **petitioner** for the appeal. Therefore, we cannot consider counsel to be the petitioner's attorney of record.