

(b)(6)



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

DATE: **NOV 19 2013** OFFICE: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]  
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Skilled Worker or Professional Pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(3)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case. This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions.

Thank you,

  
Ron Rosenberg  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Texas Service Center, revoked the approval of the employment-based immigrant visa petition. The petitioner appealed the decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed. The AAO will return the matter to the director for consideration as a motion to reopen and reconsider.

The petitioner must appeal a decision to revoke the approval of a petition within 15 days of service. 8 C.F.R. § 205.2(d). If the unfavorable decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 18 days. 8 C.F.R. § 103.8(b). An untimely appeal must be rejected as improperly filed. Neither the Act nor the regulations grant the AAO authority to extend this time limit.

The filing date is the actual date of receipt at the location designated for filing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i). The appeal must be signed and submitted with the correct fee. *Id.*

The director issued the decision denying the petition on July 19, 2013. The director properly gave notice to the petitioner that it had 18 days to file the appeal.<sup>1</sup> The petitioner filed the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, on August 22, 2013, or 34 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal is untimely.

If an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2). The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the Director, Texas Service Center. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii).

As the appeal brief and additional evidence in this matter were submitted directly to the AAO in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(viii), the director did not have an opportunity to review the untimely appeal to determine whether it meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or reconsider. Therefore, the matter will be returned to the director. If the director determines that the untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion, the motion shall be granted and a new decision will be issued. If the director determines that the untimely appeal does not meet the requirements of a motion, no new decision will be issued.

The untimely appeal must be rejected pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(1).

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Notice of Revocation (NOR) states that an appeal must be filed within 15 days of the date of the notice (18 days if received by mail). The NOR also states that a motion to reopen or reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the date of the notice (33 days if received by mail). Despite the incorrect instructions for filing a motion to reopen or reconsider, the instructions for the filing of an appeal were correctly stated on the NOR. In the instant case, the petitioner has filed an appeal and not a motion to reopen or reconsider.