

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

B3

AUG 10 2009

FILE:

EAC 06 132 50767

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE:

Petitioner:


PETITION: Petition for Immigrant Abused Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.



John F. Grissom
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the immigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected and the petition remanded to the director to treat as a motion.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days of service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The record indicates that the director issued the decision on July 12, 2007. It is noted that the director properly gave notice to the petitioner that he had 33 days to file the appeal. Although the petitioner dated the appeal August 9, 2007, it was received by Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) on August 15, 2007, or 34 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(1) states that an appeal which is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

An untimely filed appeal must meet specific requirements to be treated as a motion. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2) requires that a motion to reopen state the new facts to be provided in the reopened proceeding, supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Furthermore, 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3) requires that a motion to reconsider must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or CIS policy.

Upon review, the petitioner submitted sufficient new evidence to meet the requirements for a motion to reopen. The director denied the petition determining that the petitioner had not established that his wife subjected him to battery or extreme cruelty during their marriage and that he is a person of good moral character. On appeal, the petitioner submits a letter, a sworn affidavit, and additional evidence. Upon review, the petitioner submitted new evidence to address the director's objections. Accordingly, the petitioner's untimely filed appeal meets the requirements for a motion to reconsider.

The case will be remanded to the Vermont Service Center to be considered as a motion to reopen/reconsider. The director shall review all the evidence of record, including the evidence submitted on appeal in which the petitioner addressed the issues singled out by the director in the denial notice.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected. The petition is remanded to the director for further consideration and entry of a new decision.