

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals, MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

B9



FILE:



Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: FEB 03 2010

EAC 08 044 50232


IN RE: Petitioner:



PETITION:

Petition for Immigrant Abused Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the immigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner seeks immigrant classification pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act ("the Act"), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as an alien battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by a United States citizen.

Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Act provides that an alien who is the spouse of a United States citizen may self-petition for immigrant classification if the alien demonstrates that he or she entered into the marriage with the United States citizen spouse in good faith and that during the marriage, the alien or a child of the alien was battered or subjected to extreme cruelty perpetrated by the alien's spouse. In addition, the alien must show that he or she is eligible to be classified as an immediate relative under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Act, resided with the abusive spouse, and is a person of good moral character. Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)(II).

The director denied the petition on April 28, 2009, determining that the petitioner had not established that he had been subjected to battery or extreme cruelty by his former spouse.

The petitioner timely submits a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal. Counsel submits the petitioner's statement and requests that the adverse decision be reconsidered for humanitarian reasons. The petitioner in his statement on appeal notes that he is 74-years old; that he does not have scars from the attacks he suffered; that he suffered mentally and physically; that he did not report his wife to the police because he did not want his ex-wife in trouble or in jail; that his former spouse caused him to lose self esteem; that he has submitted all the documentation that he has; and that he does not agree with the director's decision that the differences and marital discord are a reflection of marriage disintegration. The petitioner indicates that he does not have the money for a psychologist for treatment. The petitioner requests compassion and a fair review of his case.

The record does not contain further information or evidence submitted on appeal. Thus, the record is considered complete.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. §103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part: "An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal."

The petitioner does not provide evidence or argument in support of his claim that he was mentally and physically abused. The director articulated the reasons why the petitioner had not established that he had suffered battery or extreme cruelty at the hands of his former spouse. Although the petitioner disagrees with the director's determination and repeats his belief that he suffered mental and physical abuse, the petitioner does not identify specifically any erroneous conclusions of law or statements of fact made by the director as a basis for the appeal. The AAO, thus, is without further evidence or argument to evaluate regarding the petitioner's failure to establish essential elements of eligibility for

this benefit. The petitioner's failure to specifically address the director's findings and present evidence and argument identifying the director's erroneous conclusions of law or statements of fact mandate the summary dismissal of the appeal.

Inasmuch as the petitioner has failed to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

The petition will be denied for the stated reason set out in the director's decision. In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.