

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy
PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

B9



DATE: JUN 03 2011 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

FILE: [Redacted]

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for Immigrant Abused Child Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iv) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iv)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the immigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed. The petition remains denied.

The petitioner seeks immigrant classification pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(A)(iv) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iv), as an alien battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by his United States citizen stepparent.

The director denied the petition on September 27, 2010, determining that the petitioner had not established a qualifying relationship as a child of the claimed abusive United States citizen and had not established that he is eligible for immigrant classification under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Act. On appeal, the petitioner submits a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion and checks the box indicating that no supplemental brief and/or additional evidence will be submitted. The only document submitted with the Form I-290B is a request for a fee waiver. The record is considered complete.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. §103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iv) of the Act provides that an alien who is the child of a citizen of the United States, or who was a child of a United States citizen parent who within the past 2 years lost or renounced citizenship status related to an incident of domestic violence, and who is a person of good moral character, who is eligible to be classified as an immediate relative under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Act and who resides, or has resided in the past, with the citizen parent may file a petition with the [Secretary of Homeland Security] under this subparagraph for classification of the alien (and any child of the alien) under such section if the alien demonstrates to the [Secretary of Homeland Security] that the alien has been battered by or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by the alien's citizen parent.

Section 101(b)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(b)(1), defines a child as, in pertinent part:

an unmarried person under 21 years of age who is . . . (B) a stepchild, whether or not born out of wedlock, provided the child had not reached the age of 18 years at the time the marriage creating the status of stepchild occurred.

The director in this matter denied the petition, determining that the petitioner did not meet the definition of "child" at section 101(b)(1) of the Act, as he had turned 18 when the marriage creating the stepparent/stepchild relationship occurred. We concur with the director's assessment of the relevant evidence. The petitioner does not provide any further evidence or argument on appeal that overcomes the director's decision. The petitioner fails to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding.

Accordingly, the appeal must be summarily dismissed pursuant to the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed. The petition remains denied.