




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services


B9



DATE: **DEC 05 2012**

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

File




IN RE: Petitioner:



PETITION: Petition for Immigrant Abused Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:




INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630 or a request for a fee waiver. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,


Ron Rosenberg

Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center (“the director”), denied the immigrant visa petition and affirmed his decision upon granting the petitioner’s motion to reopen and reconsider. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner seeks immigrant classification under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as an alien battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by a United States citizen.

The director denied the petition for failure overcome section 204(g) of the Act and establish that the petitioner entered into the qualifying relationship in good faith. On appeal, counsel submits a brief that states the same facts and the same legal arguments as the brief previously submitted and evidence previously filed and considered by the director on motion.¹

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

In this case, counsel fails to identify any specific, erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the director’s decision dated February 24, 2012. Counsel’s brief is almost a copy of the brief submitted below with the motion to reopen and reconsider. Counsel provides no new evidence on appeal. Consequently, the appeal must be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden and the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.

¹ With the exception of one paragraph on page eight of the brief describing the director’s decision dated February 24, 2012, the brief is nearly identical to the brief counsel submitted on motion.