

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services



B9

Date: **DEC 18 2012**

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

File: 

IN RE:

Petitioner: 

PETITION: Petition for Immigrant Abused Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,



Ron Rosenberg  
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Vermont Service Center (“the director”), denied the immigrant petition due to abandonment and dismissed a subsequent motion to reopen. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party or the attorney or representative of record must file the complete appeal within 30 days of service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b). The date of filing is not the date of mailing, but the date of actual receipt. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i). A denial based on abandonment may not be appealed. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).

Initially, the petitioner improperly submitted a Form I-290B Notice of Appeal of the director’s denial of the self-petition due to abandonment. The appeal was treated as a motion to reopen and the director dismissed the motion on December 12, 2011. Neither the Act nor the pertinent regulations grant the AAO authority to extend this time limit.

The instant Form I-290B Notice of Appeal was not received by the service center until March 6, 2012, or 85 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the Director of the Vermont Service Center. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). The director determined that the late appeal did not meet the requirements of a motion and forwarded the matter to the AAO.

As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.<sup>1</sup>

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.

---

<sup>1</sup> Even if the petitioner had timely filed the appeal, the AAO would have rejected it because a denial due to abandonment may not be appealed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).