



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

(b)(6)

[Redacted]

Date: **JAN 17 2013** Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

FILE: [Redacted]

IN RE: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for Immigrant Abused Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)


ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or motion, with a fee of \$630, or a request for a fee waiver. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Vermont Service Center director denied the immigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner seeks immigrant classification under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as an alien battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by a United States citizen.

The director denied the petition for failure to establish the requisite battery or extreme cruelty and that the petitioner entered into her marriage in good faith. Specifically, the director explained how the relevant evidence lacked credibility and was not reliable.

On appeal, counsel submitted a Notice of Appeal (Form I-290B), indicating that a brief or other evidence would be submitted within 30 days, or by June 20, 2012. To date, almost seven months later, the AAO has received no further brief or evidence from counsel or the petitioner.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

On the Form I-290B, counsel claimed that a brief explaining erroneous conclusions of fact or law would be submitted within 30 days. Counsel failed to identify any specific erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the director's decision and the AAO has received no further evidence or brief in support of the appeal. Accordingly, the appeal must be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Chawathe*, 25 I&N Dec. 369, 375 (AAO 2010). The petitioner has not sustained that burden and the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.