



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

(b)(6)




Date: **JAN 22 2013** Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

FILE:




IN RE:

Petitioner:



PETITION: Petition for Immigrant Abused Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:




INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen with the field office or service center that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630 or a request for a fee waiver. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,


Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the immigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed as moot.

The petitioner seeks immigrant classification pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act ("the Act"), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as an alien battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by a United States citizen.

The director denied the petition after determining that the petitioner failed to establish that she was battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by her husband.

Upon review of the record, including applicable U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) records, the petitioner was granted lawful permanent resident status as of May 12, 2009. The record contains no evidence that the petitioner has lost her lawful permanent resident status. Although the petitioner is in removal proceedings before the Chicago Immigration Court, those proceedings remain pending and her next hearing is scheduled for January 26, 2015. Lawful permanent resident status terminates upon entry of a final administrative order of removal. 8 C.F.R. § 1.2 (noting the definition of *Lawfully admitted for permanent residence*). See also *Etuk v. Slattery*, 936 F.2d 1433, 1447 (2d Cir. 1991) (citing *Matter of Gunaydin*, 18 I&N Dec. 326 (BIA 1982)). Lawful permanent residency may also be lost through abandonment, rescission, or relinquishment. See *Matter of Gunaydin*, 18 I&N Dec. at 327 n.1. However, none of those circumstances exist in this matter. The petitioner remains a lawful permanent resident and has already obtained the benefit she seeks through this petition. Consequently, the issues in this proceeding are moot.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed as moot.