

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave. N.W. MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

Date: **JUN 26 2014**

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER File: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for Immigrant Abused Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Vermont Service Center Acting Director (“the director”) denied the immigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks immigrant classification under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (“the Act”), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as an alien battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by a United States citizen.

The director denied the petition for failure to establish that the petitioner was subjected to battery or extreme cruelty by his wife during their marriage.

Relevant Law and Regulations

Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Act provides that an alien who is the spouse of a United States citizen may self-petition for immigrant classification if the alien demonstrates that he or she entered into the marriage with the United States citizen spouse in good faith and that during the marriage, the alien or a child of the alien was battered or subjected to extreme cruelty perpetrated by the alien’s spouse. In addition, the alien must show that he or she is eligible to be classified as an immediate relative under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Act, resided with the abusive spouse, and is a person of good moral character. Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii)(II) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)(II).

Section 204(a)(1)(J) of the Act further states, in pertinent part:

In acting on petitions filed under clause (iii) or (iv) of subparagraph (A) . . . or in making determinations under subparagraphs (C) and (D), the [Secretary of Homeland Security] shall consider any credible evidence relevant to the petition. The determination of what evidence is credible and the weight to be given that evidence shall be within the sole discretion of the [Secretary of Homeland Security].

The eligibility requirements for a self-petition under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Act are further explicated in the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1), which states, in pertinent part:

(vi) *Battery or extreme cruelty.* For the purpose of this chapter, the phrase “was battered by or was the subject of extreme cruelty” includes, but is not limited to, being the victim of any act or threatened act of violence, including any forceful detention, which results or threatens to result in physical or mental injury. Psychological or sexual abuse or exploitation, including rape, molestation, incest (if the victim is a minor), or forced prostitution shall be considered acts of violence. Other abusive actions may also be acts of violence under certain circumstances, including acts that, in and of themselves, may not initially appear violent but that are a part of an overall pattern of violence. The qualifying abuse must have been committed by the citizen . . . spouse, must have been perpetrated against the self-petitioner or the self-petitioner’s child and must have taken place during the self-petitioner’s marriage to the abuser.

The evidentiary guidelines for a self-petition under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Act are further explicated in the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(2), which states, in pertinent part:

(i) *General.* Self-petitioners are encouraged to submit primary evidence whenever possible. The Service will consider, however, any credible evidence relevant to the petition. The determination of what evidence is credible and the weight to be given that evidence shall be within the sole discretion of the Service.

* * *

(iv) *Abuse.* Evidence of abuse may include, but is not limited to, reports and affidavits from police, judges and other court officials, medical personnel, school officials, clergy, social workers, and other social service agency personnel. Persons who have obtained an order of protection against the abuser or have taken other legal steps to end the abuse are strongly encouraged to submit copies of the relating legal documents. Evidence that the abuse victim sought safe-haven in a battered women's shelter or similar refuge may be relevant, as may a combination of documents such as a photograph of the visibly injured self-petitioner supported by affidavits. Other forms of credible relevant evidence will also be considered. Documentary proof of non-qualifying abuses may only be used to establish a pattern of abuse and violence and to support a claim that qualifying abuse also occurred.

Facts and Procedural History

The petitioner is a citizen of Liberia. The petitioner married C-T-¹, a U.S. citizen, in Liberia on [REDACTED] 2008. The petitioner and his wife divorced on [REDACTED], 2013. The petitioner filed the instant Form I-360 on October 6, 2011. The director subsequently issued Requests for Evidences (RFE) requesting evidence of, among other things, the requisite battery or extreme cruelty. The petitioner timely responded with additional evidence, which the director found insufficient and denied the petition.

The AAO reviews these proceedings *de novo*. See *Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004). Upon a full review of the record, the petitioner has not overcome the director's ground for denial.

Battery or Extreme Cruelty

The petitioner stated in his letter, dated September 26, 2011, that after one year of marriage his wife suddenly asked him to move out of their home. He stated that his wife had taken all of his money, including the money he gave her to process his green card. The petitioner stated that his wife refuses to communicate with him and has moved to an unknown location. The petitioner provided three bank account statements, which show that the petitioner's wages were deposited into a joint account with his wife, and although the bank statements show that money from the joint account was transferred into an account solely in the name of the petitioner's wife, the statements also show that money was also transferred into the joint account from his wife's account.

¹ Name withheld to protect the individual's identity.

On appeal, the petitioner submits a divorce judgment reflecting that his marriage terminated on [REDACTED] 2013, and an affidavit from his friend, [REDACTED]. In the appeal notice the petitioner states that although his wife made him leave their house and kept his legal documents and personal possessions, the director denied his self-petition because he was not battered by his wife. The petitioner contends that after he was forced to leave his home he must beg for food and sleep where he is not permitted. Mr. [REDACTED] states in his affidavit that during the petitioner's marriage he provided money to the petitioner to purchase food and call his father in Liberia because the petitioner's wife would take all the money from their joint account. Mr. [REDACTED] states that in his presence C-T- told the petitioner that she brought him to the United States and that she would keep the petitioner's documents until they expired and the petitioner was sent back to Liberia. Mr. [REDACTED] stated that C-T- made the petitioner leave their home, and that the petitioner sleeps in the closet at his job.

While the statements from Mr. [REDACTED] and the petitioner establish that the petitioner was mistreated by his former wife, their statements do not establish that C-T-'s behavior involved battery or constituted extreme cruelty, as that term is defined at 8 C.F.R. § 204.2(c)(1)(vi).

In sum, when the relevant evidence is viewed together, it does not demonstrate that the petitioner was subjected to battery or extreme cruelty by his former wife during their marriage, as required by section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii)(I)(bb) of the Act.

Conclusion

In these proceedings, the petitioner bears the burden of proof to establish his eligibility by a preponderance of the evidence. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013); *Matter of Chawathe*, 25 I&N Dec. 369, 375 (AAO 2010). Here, that burden has not been met. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.