



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

(b)(6)

DATE: **JUN 01 2015**

FILE #: [REDACTED]

PETITION RECEIPT #: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Self-Petitioner: [REDACTED]


PETITION: Petition for Immigrant Abused Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(A)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(A)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

Enclosed is the non-precedent decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) for your case.

If you believe we incorrectly decided your case, you may file a motion requesting us to reconsider our decision and/or reopen the proceeding. The requirements for motions are located at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Motions must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) **within 33 days of the date of this decision**. The Form I-290B web page ([www.uscis.gov/i-290b](http://www.uscis.gov/i-290b)) contains the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. **Please do not mail any motions directly to the AAO.**

Thank you,



Ron Rosenberg  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Acting Director, Vermont Service Center (the director), denied the petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner seeks immigrant classification under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as an alien battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by a United States citizen. The director denied the petition because the record failed to establish that the petitioner married his U.S. citizen wife in good faith, that he resided with her, and that he was abused or subjected to extreme cruelty by her during their marriage.

The petitioner indicates on the Notice of Appeal (Form I-2990B), filed on July 21, 2014, that a brief and/or additional evidence will be submitted within 30 days. The petitioner did not attach a statement to the Form I-290B specifying an erroneous conclusion of law or fact in the decision being appealed, and as of the date of this decision, we have not received any new evidence or a brief specifically addressing the director's decision.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v). As the petitioner has not identified any specific, erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the director's decision, the appeal must be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

In these proceedings, the petitioner bears the burden of proof to establish his eligibility by a preponderance of the evidence. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013); *Matter of Chawathe*, 25 I&N Dec. 369, 375 (AAO 2010). Here, that burden has not been met.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.