



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

**Non-Precedent Decision of the
Administrative Appeals Office**

MATTER OF S-L-

DATE: FEB. 8, 2016

APPEAL OF VERMONT SERVICE CENTER DECISION

PETITION: FORM I-360, PETITION FOR AMERASIAN, WIDOW(ER), OR SPECIAL IMMIGRANT

The Petitioner seeks immigrant classification as an abused spouse of a U.S. citizen. *See* Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) § 204(a)(1)(A)(iii), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii). The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the petition. The matter is now before us on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

On appeal, the Petitioner indicated that she would file a brief and/or additional evidence to us within 30 days. Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(vii) and (viii), as well as the instructions to the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, an affected party may request additional time to file a brief, which is to be submitted directly to us. With her Form I-290B, the Petitioner indicates that she is appealing the Director's denial because she did not receive the Director's second request for evidence (RFE) concerning proof of the status of her prior marriage. The record reflects that the Director properly issued the second RFE to the Petitioner's counsel's address of record. Regardless, the Petitioner does not dispute receipt of the Director's final decision which specifically notified the Petitioner of the insufficiency of required evidence regarding the termination of her prior marriage. As the Petitioner was on notice of the reasons for denial, the Petitioner's general statement on the Form I-290B does not adequately address the Director's grounds for denial and the record does not indicate that we have received additional documents or correspondence in support of the Petitioner's appeal.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states, in pertinent part:

- (v) *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The Petitioner's appeal does not identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the Director's decision concerning the subject of this appeal. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

Matter of S-L-

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

Cite as *Matter of S-L-*, ID# 15816 (AAO Feb. 8, 2016)