

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

PUBLIC COPY



*Handwritten mark resembling a stylized 'C' or '1'.*

JUL 30 2007

FILE: [Redacted]  
LIN 01 163 50188

Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

*Maura Deadrick*  
Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Nebraska Service Center, initially approved the employment-based immigrant visa petition. Upon further review, the director determined that the petition had been approved in error. The director properly served the petitioner with a notice of intent to revoke, and subsequently revoked the approval of the petition. The director reopened the proceeding on the petitioner's motion, and reaffirmed the revocation. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. 205.2(d) indicates that revocations of approvals must be appealed within 15 days after the service of the notice of revocation. The director reaffirmed the revocation on January 30, 2006. The appeal was filed on February 24, 2006, 25 days after the decision was rendered. Thus, the appeal was not timely filed.

Furthermore, the appeal was filed not by the petitioner directly, but by an attorney who claims to represent the petitioner. The record before the AAO does not contain Form G-28 Notice of Entry of Appearance authorizing the attorney to act as counsel for the petitioner. We note that the record contains an earlier letter, stating that a Form G-28 is attached, but the form itself is not in the record.

Finally, the Form I-290B Notice of Appeal in the record is not signed. Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. §§ 103.2(a)(1) and (2), an unsigned Notice of Appeal has not been properly filed.

The submission, at this late date, of properly signed Forms G-28 and I-290B would not overcome the untimely filing of the appeal.

For each of the above reasons, individually and separately, the appeal was improperly filed and must be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.