

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

C,

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

OCT 04 2010

IN RE:

Petitioner:

[REDACTED]

Beneficiary:

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

M. Deardnck
Perry Rhew

Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a [REDACTED]. It seeks to classify the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as a priest. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that it is a bona fide nonprofit religious organization and that the beneficiary worked continuously in a qualifying religious occupation or vocation for two full years immediately preceding the filing of the visa petition.

The petitioner submits additional documentation on appeal that it states is proof of its nonprofit religious status and of the beneficiary's two years of work as a religious worker.

Section 203(b)(4) of the Act provides classification to qualified special immigrant religious workers as described in section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C), which pertains to an immigrant who:

(i) for at least 2 years immediately preceding the time of application for admission, has been a member of a religious denomination having a bona fide nonprofit, religious organization in the United States;

(ii) seeks to enter the United States –

(I) solely for the purpose of carrying on the vocation of a minister of that religious denomination,

(II) before September 30, 2012, in order to work for the organization at the request of the organization in a professional capacity in a religious vocation or occupation, or

(III) before September 30, 2012, in order to work for the organization (or for a bona fide organization which is affiliated with the religious denomination and is exempt from taxation as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) at the request of the organization in a religious vocation or occupation; and

(iii) has been carrying on such vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for at least the 2-year period described in clause (i).

The first issue presented is whether the petitioner has established that it is a bona fide nonprofit religious organization.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(5) provides, in pertinent part:

Tax-exempt organization means an organization that has received a determination letter from the IRS [Internal Revenue Service] establishing that it, or a group that it belongs to, is exempt from taxation in accordance with sections 501(c)(3) of the IRC [Internal Revenue Code] of 1986 or subsequent amendments or equivalent sections of prior enactments of the IRC.

Additionally, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(8) provides:

Evidence relating to the petitioning organization. A petition shall include the following initial evidence relating to the petitioning organization:

(i) A currently valid determination letter from the [IRS] establishing that the organization is a tax-exempt organization; or

(ii) For a religious organization that is recognized as tax-exempt under a group tax-exemption, a currently valid determination letter from the IRS establishing that the group is tax-exempt; or

(iii) For a bona fide organization that is affiliated with the religious denomination, if the organization was granted tax-exempt status under section 501(c)(3) of the [IRC] of 1986, or subsequent amendment or equivalent sections of prior enactments of the [IRC], as something other than a religious organization:

(A) A currently valid determination letter from the IRS establishing that the organization is a tax-exempt organization;

(B) Documentation that establishes the religious nature and purpose of the organization, such as a copy of the organizing instrument of the organization that specifies the purposes of the organization;

(C) Organizational literature, such as books, articles, brochures, calendars, flyers and other literature describing the religious purpose and nature of the activities of the organization; and

(D) A religious denomination certification. The religious organization must complete, sign and date a religious denomination certification certifying that the petitioning organization is affiliated with the religious denomination. The certification is to be submitted by the petitioner along with the petition.

The petitioner submitted no documentation to establish its tax-exempt status with the petition. In a May 21, 2010 request for evidence (RFE), the director instructed the petitioner to submit evidence that it qualifies as a nonprofit religious organization “in the form of The Internal Revenue Service – IRS 501(c)(3) Tax Exempt Certification.”

In response to the RFE, the petitioner submitted a copy of a July 12, 2005 letter from the IRS assigning the petitioner an employer identification number and various documentation from the State of Florida regarding its existence as a corporation. The director denied the petition as the petitioner failed to submit a valid determination letter from the IRS.

On appeal, the petitioner submits a copy of a March 2, 2006 letter from the IRS granting it tax-exempt status as a religious organization under sections 501(c)(3) and 170(b)(1)(A)(i) of the IRC. The petitioner does not explain its failure to submit this documentation in response to the RFE when specifically instructed to do so.

The regulation states that the petitioner shall submit additional evidence as the director, in his or her discretion, may deem necessary. The purpose of the request for evidence is to elicit further information that clarifies whether eligibility for the benefit sought has been established, as of the time the petition is filed. See 8 C.F.R. §§ 103.2(b)(8) and (12). The failure to submit requested evidence that precludes a material line of inquiry shall be grounds for denying the petition. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(14).

Where, as here, a petitioner has been put on notice of a deficiency in the evidence and has been given an opportunity to respond to that deficiency, the AAO will not accept evidence offered for the first time on appeal. See *Matter of Soriano*, 19 I&N Dec. 764 (BIA 1988); see also *Matter of Obaigbena*, 19 I&N Dec. 533 (BIA 1988). If the petitioner had wanted the submitted evidence to be considered, it should have submitted the documents in response to the director's request for evidence. *Id.* Under the circumstances, the AAO need not and does not consider the sufficiency of the evidence submitted on appeal. We find that the director made no error in finding that the petitioner failed to submit the required IRS documentation when specifically instructed to do so. We agree with the director's finding, which is sufficient, by itself, to warrant dismissal of the appeal.

The second issue is whether the petitioner has established that the beneficiary worked continuously in a qualifying religious vocation or occupation for two full years immediately preceding the filing of the visa petition.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m) provides that to be eligible for classification as a special immigrant religious worker, the alien must:

- (4) Have been working in one of the positions described in paragraph (m)(2) of this section, either abroad or in lawful immigration status in the United States, and after the age of 14 years continuously for at least the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition. The prior religious work need not correspond

precisely to the type of work to be performed. A break in the continuity of the work during the preceding two years will not affect eligibility so long as:

- (i) The alien was still employed as a religious worker;
- (ii) The break did not exceed two years; and
- (iii) The nature of the break was for further religious training or for sabbatical that did not involve unauthorized work in the United States. However, the alien must have been a member of the petitioner's denomination throughout the two years of qualifying employment.

Therefore, the petitioner must show that the beneficiary worked in a qualifying religious occupation or vocation, either abroad or in lawful immigration status in the United States, continuously for at least the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition. The petition was filed on September 30, 2009. Accordingly, the petitioner must establish that the beneficiary worked continuously in qualifying religious work throughout the two-year period immediately preceding that date.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(11) provides:

Evidence relating to the alien's prior employment. Qualifying prior experience during the two years immediately preceding the petition or preceding any acceptable break in the continuity of the religious work, must have occurred after the age of 14, and if acquired in the United States, must have been authorized under United States immigration law.

The petitioner indicated on the Form I-360, Petition for Amerasian, Widow(er), or Special Immigrant, that the beneficiary was present in the United States pursuant to an R-1 nonimmigrant religious worker visa. USCIS records indicate that the beneficiary was first approved as an R-1 nonimmigrant from September 2, 2005 until September 1, 2007. He was then approved for an extension on July 16, 2008 for the period September 2, 2007 to January 26, 2008.

The record reflects that the beneficiary's R-1 status expired on January 26, 2008 and that he was subsequently placed in removal proceedings on August 31, 2009. The beneficiary was therefore not in a lawful immigration status for two full years prior to the filing of the visa petition. Any work performed by the beneficiary in the United States in an unauthorized status interrupts the continuity of his work experience for the purpose of this visa petition.

The petitioner has therefore failed to establish that the beneficiary worked continuously in a qualifying religious occupation or vocation for two full years prior to the filing of the visa petition.

Page 6

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, that burden has not been met. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.