

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**PUBLIC COPY**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

[REDACTED]

C1

DATE: **APR 19 2012** OFFICE: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]  
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, California Service Center, denied the immigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The petitioner is a church. It seeks to classify the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as an assistant pastor. The director determined that the petitioner had not submitted a complete signed and dated attestation; had not established that it was a bona fide non-profit tax exempt organization; had not established that the beneficiary had the requisite two years of continuous, lawful, qualifying work experience immediately preceding the filing date of the petition; and had not established its ability to compensate the beneficiary.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2) provides, in pertinent part:

(v) *Improperly filed appeal—*

(A) *Appeal filed by person or entity not entitled to file it—*

(1) *Rejection without refund of filing fee.* An appeal filed by a person or entity not entitled to file it must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee the Service has accepted will not be refunded.

(2) *Appeal by attorney or representative without proper Form G-28—*

(i) *General.* If an appeal is filed by an attorney or representative without a properly executed Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative (Form G-28) entitling that person to file the appeal, the appeal is considered improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee the Service has accepted will not be refunded regardless of the action taken.

(ii) *When favorable action warranted. . . .*

(iii) *When favorable action not warranted.* If the reviewing official decides favorable action is not warranted with respect to an otherwise properly filed appeal, that official shall ask the attorney or representative to submit Form G-28 directly to the AAU. The official shall also forward the appeal and the relating record of proceeding to the AAU. The appeal may be considered properly filed as of its original filing date if the attorney or representative submits a properly executed Form G-28 entitling that person to file the appeal.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(1) provides:

*General.* Every application, petition, appeal, motion, request, or other document submitted on the form prescribed by this chapter shall be executed and filed in accordance with the instructions on the form, such instructions (including where an application or petition should be filed) being hereby incorporated into the particular section of the regulations in this chapter requiring its submission.

The instructions for the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, advise the appellant that:

If you wish, you may be represented at no expense to the U.S. Government by an attorney or other duly authorized representative. Your attorney or representative must submit a Form G-28 with the appeal or motion. If the appeal or motion is filed without a properly executed Form G-28, it will be dismissed or rejected.

Additionally, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 292.4(a), as well as the instructions to the Form G-28, provides that:

An appearance must be filed on the appropriate form as prescribed by DHS [Department of Homeland Security] by the attorney or accredited representative appearing in each case. The form must be properly completed and signed by the petitioner, applicant, or respondent to authorize representation in order for the appearance to be recognized by DHS. The appearance will be recognized by the specific immigration component of DHS in which it was filed until the conclusion of the matter for which it was entered. *This does not change the requirement that a new form must be filed with an appeal filed with the Administrative Appeals Office of USCIS.* [Emphasis added.]

Finally, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(iii) states, in pertinent part:

(B) *Meaning of affected party.* For purposes of this section and §§ 103.4 and 103.5 of this part, *affected party* (in addition to the Service) means the person or entity with legal standing in a proceeding. It does not include the beneficiary of a visa petition.

Only an affected party, a person or entity with legal standing, may file an appeal of an unfavorable decision. In this instance [REDACTED] signed the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal. However, Ms. [REDACTED] did not submit a Form G-28 with the appeal authorizing her to act on behalf of the petitioner. By fax of March 6, 2012, the AAO requested that [REDACTED] submit a Form G-28 in accordance with the instructions for the Form I-290B and G-28 as well as the regulations at 8 C.F.R. §§ 1.2 and 292.4(a). The AAO's fax advised [REDACTED] to submit the properly executed Form G-28 to the AAO within 15 calendar days.

In response, ██████████ submitted a signed Form G-28 dated March 14, 2012, indicating that he would be representing the beneficiary. Neither the petitioner nor ██████████ responded to the AAO's March 6, 2012 request.

As ██████████ has failed to provide a properly executed Form G-28 authorizing her to act on behalf of the petitioner in the appellate stage of this proceeding, she cannot be considered as the petitioner's legal representative. Accordingly, the appeal has not been filed by the petitioner or by any entity with legal standing in the proceeding. Therefore, the appeal has not been properly filed and must be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.