U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

C1

[redacted]

DATE: FEB 14 2012 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER [redacted]

IN RE: Petitioner: [redacted]
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of $630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center, denied the employment-based immigrant visa petition on December 2, 2009. The petitioner appealed the decision on December 29, 2009. The Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) will dismiss the appeal.

The petitioner is a Seventh-Day Adventist school. It seeks to classify the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as a missionary teacher/counselor. The director determined that that the beneficiary had engaged in unauthorized employment and that the petitioner had failed to establish that the beneficiary worked continuously in a qualifying religious occupation or vocation for two full years immediately preceding the filing of the visa petition.

Section 203(b)(4) of the Act provides classification to qualified special immigrant religious workers as described in section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C), which pertains to an immigrant who:

> (i) for at least 2 years immediately preceding the time of application for admission, has been a member of a religious denomination having a bona fide nonprofit, religious organization in the United States;
>
> (ii) seeks to enter the United States –
>
> > (I) solely for the purpose of carrying on the vocation of a minister of that religious denomination,
> >
> > (II) before September 30, 2012, in order to work for the organization at the request of the organization in a professional capacity in a religious vocation or occupation, or
> >
> > (III) before September 30, 2012, in order to work for the organization (or for a bona fide organization which is affiliated with the religious denomination and is exempt from taxation as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) at the request of the organization in a religious vocation or occupation; and
>
> (iii) has been carrying on such vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for at least the 2-year period described in clause (i).

The issues presented on appeal are whether the beneficiary had engaged in unauthorized employment and whether the petitioner has established that the beneficiary worked continuously in a qualifying religious vocation or occupation for two full years immediately preceding the filing date of the visa petition.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m) provides that to be eligible for classification as a special immigrant religious worker, the alien must:

(4) Have been working in one of the positions described in paragraph (m)(2) of this section, either abroad or in lawful immigration status in the United States, and after the age of 14 years continuously for at least the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition. The prior religious work need not correspond precisely to the type of work to be performed. A break in the continuity of the work during the preceding two years will not affect eligibility so long as:

(i) The alien was still employed as a religious worker;

(ii) The break did not exceed two years; and

(iii) The nature of the break was for further religious training or for sabbatical that did not involve unauthorized work in the United States. However, the alien must have been a member of the petitioner's denomination throughout the two years of qualifying employment.

Therefore, the petitioner must show that the beneficiary worked in a qualifying religious occupation or vocation, either abroad or in lawful immigration status in the United States, continuously for at least the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition. The petitioner filed the Form I-360 on September 25, 2008. Accordingly, the petitioner must establish that the beneficiary was continuously employed in qualifying religious work throughout the two-year period immediately preceding that date.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(11) provides:

Evidence relating to the alien's prior employment. Qualifying prior experience during the two years immediately preceding the petition or preceding any acceptable break in the continuity of the religious work, must have occurred after the age of 14, and if acquired in the United States, must have been authorized under United States immigration law. If the alien was employed in the United States during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application and:

(i) Received salaried compensation, the petitioner must submit IRS [Internal Revenue Service] documentation that the alien received a salary, such as an IRS Form W-2 [Wage and Tax Statement] or certified copies of income tax returns.

(ii) Received non-salaried compensation, the petitioner must submit IRS documentation of the non-salaried compensation if available.

(iii) Received no salary but provided for his or her own support, and provided support for any dependents, the petitioner must show how support was maintained by submitting with the petition additional

> documents such as audited financial statements, financial institution records, brokerage account statements, trust documents signed by an attorney, or other verifiable evidence acceptable to USCIS.
>
> If the alien was employed outside the United States during such two years, the petitioner must submit comparable evidence of the religious work.

On the Form I-360 petition, which the petitioner filed on September 25, 2008, the petitioner indicated that the beneficiary last arrived in the United States as an R-1 nonimmigrant on November 1, 2007. In a September 23, 2008 letter accompanying the petition, the petitioner claimed that the beneficiary had been working for its school since 2003 in R-1 status. The beneficiary was not, however, in the United States throughout the entire two-year qualifying period. On September 25, 2006, which is the beginning date of the two-year qualifying period, the beneficiary was in Barbados. The petitioner has not shown that the beneficiary's trip to Barbados was for the purpose of further religious training or for sabbatical.

The director noted in her December 2, 2009 decision that the beneficiary's passport reflects that she was admitted into the United States as a B-2 visitor in October of 2006. Counsel states on appeal that the inspecting officer admitted the beneficiary as a B-2 visitor on October 8, 2006 but then changed the class of admission to R-1. In support of this assertion, counsel submits, as exhibit B, a copy of the beneficiary's Form I-94 on which someone has changed the class of admission from B-2 to R-1. The records of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) indicate, however, that the beneficiary's admission on October 8, 2006 was as a B-2 visitor. The CBP records must be given greater weight in this proceeding than the hand-written notation on the beneficiary's Form I-94. The U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.1(e) states that a B-2 nonimmigrant may not engage in any employment, and that any unauthorized employment by a nonimmigrant constitutes a failure to maintain status.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(4) prohibits USCIS from considering work that was not "in lawful immigration status" and any "unauthorized work in the United States." The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(11) requires that "qualifying prior experience . . . must have been authorized under United States immigration law." The petitioner has failed to submit sufficient documentation to establish that the beneficiary worked continuously in an authorized status in a qualifying religious occupation or vocation for two full years immediately preceding the filing date of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden. Accordingly, the AAO will dismiss the appeal.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.