


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



C1

Date: **MAR 22 2012** Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

FILE: 

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center, denied the employment-based immigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The AAO will dismiss the appeal.

The petitioner is a church. It seeks to classify the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as a minister. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary had the requisite two years of continuous, lawful, qualifying work experience immediately preceding the filing date of the petition.

On appeal, the petitioner submits letters from the petitioner, signed "Certification" letters from various church officials, and a description of the beneficiary's daily schedule.

Section 203(b)(4) of the Act provides classification to qualified special immigrant religious workers as described in section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C), which pertains to an immigrant who:

(i) for at least 2 years immediately preceding the time of application for admission, has been a member of a religious denomination having a bona fide nonprofit, religious organization in the United States;

(ii) seeks to enter the United States –

(I) solely for the purpose of carrying on the vocation of a minister of that religious denomination,

(II) before September 30, 2012, in order to work for the organization at the request of the organization in a professional capacity in a religious vocation or occupation, or

(III) before September 30, 2012, in order to work for the organization (or for a bona fide organization which is affiliated with the religious denomination and is exempt from taxation as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) at the request of the organization in a religious vocation or occupation; and

(iii) has been carrying on such vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for at least the 2-year period described in clause (i).

The U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(4) requires the petitioner to show that the beneficiary has been working as a minister or in a qualifying religious occupation or vocation, either abroad or in lawful immigration status in the United States, continuously for at least the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition. The petition was filed on May 12, 2010. Therefore, the petitioner must establish that

the beneficiary was continuously performing qualifying religious work in lawful immigration status throughout the two-year period immediately preceding that date.

The USCIS regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(11) provides:

Evidence relating to the alien's prior employment. Qualifying prior experience during the two years immediately preceding the petition or preceding any acceptable break in the continuity of the religious work, must have occurred after the age of 14, and if acquired in the United States, must have been authorized under United States immigration law. If the alien was employed in the United States during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application and:

- (i) Received salaried compensation, the petitioner must submit IRS documentation that the alien received a salary, such as an IRS Form W-2 or certified copies of income tax returns.
- (ii) Received non-salaried compensation, the petitioner must submit IRS documentation of the non-salaried compensation if available.
- (iii) Received no salary but provided for his or her own support, and provided support for any dependents, the petitioner must show how support was maintained by submitting with the petition additional documents such as audited financial statements, financial institution records, brokerage account statements, trust documents signed by an attorney, or other verifiable evidence acceptable to USCIS.

If the alien was employed outside the United States during such two years, the petitioner must submit comparable evidence of the religious work.

In a letter submitted with the Form I-360 petition, the petitioner states that the beneficiary has been working full time as a minister for the petitioner since February 2004. According to the petition, the beneficiary arrived in the United States on August 29, 1996. Service records do not indicate that the beneficiary has ever held any lawful status in the United States that would have authorized him to work for the petitioner during the qualifying two-year period. Accordingly, any work performed by the beneficiary during that time is not considered qualifying prior experience under 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(11).

On appeal, the petitioner does not argue that the beneficiary was in lawful status, but rather that he was not paid a salary for his full-time work, and therefore was not engaged in unlawful employment. In a letter dated August 17, 2010, the petitioner states the following:

Our church officials are willing to testify in any court of law that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] has been working full time for our church since May 2007. However,

Reverend [redacted] [error in original] was not paid a salary for his work. Nevertheless, his full time work is acknowledged by our church as full time, lawful ministry because the employment was based on an agreement between our church and [redacted]. Our church agreed not to pay [redacted] a salary until he received a work permit. He was receiving love offerings as compensation. Not receiving a salary does not negate the fact that his employment was lawful, full time employment.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(11) requires compensated employment. The petitioner must submit evidence of prior compensation in the form of IRS documentation, or evidence of qualifying self-support. Permissible circumstances for self-support, outlined in the USCIS regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(r)(11)(ii), involve the beneficiary's participation in an established program for temporary, uncompensated missionary work. The petitioner has not shown or claimed that the beneficiary participated in such a program, and has offered no evidence that the beneficiary provided for his own support. The petitioner has submitted conflicting evidence regarding the issue of the beneficiary's compensation. The petitioner states that the beneficiary received no salary. However, the petitioner states that the beneficiary received "love offerings as compensation."

Regarding the petitioner's claim that the beneficiary's volunteer work within the United States is qualifying experience, any work performed by the beneficiary as a volunteer is not qualifying. In the preamble to the proposed rule, USCIS recognized that although "legitimate religious work is sometimes performed on a voluntary basis . . . allowing such work to be the basis for . . . special immigrant religious worker classification opens the door to an unacceptable amount of fraud and increased risk to the integrity of the program." See 72 Fed. Reg. 20442, 20446 (April 25, 2007). Accordingly, any time the beneficiary may have spent in the United States "working" as a volunteer for the petitioner cannot be considered qualifying employment.

Regardless, the issue of whether or not the beneficiary was compensated has no effect on the beneficiary's lack of lawful immigration status during the two-year qualifying period. The AAO agrees with the director's finding that the petitioner has not established that the beneficiary has the requisite two years of continuous and lawful work experience immediately preceding the filing date of the petition.

As an additional matter, the AAO finds that the petitioner has not established its tax-exempt status. The U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(8) states:

Evidence relating to the petitioning organization. A petition shall include the following initial evidence relating to the petitioning organization:

- (i) A currently valid determination letter from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) establishing that the organization is a tax-exempt organization; or

(ii) For a religious organization that is recognized as tax-exempt under a group tax-exemption, a currently valid determination letter from the IRS establishing that the group is tax-exempt; or

(iii) For a bona fide organization that is affiliated with the religious denomination, if the organization was granted tax-exempt status under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or subsequent amendment or equivalent sections of prior enactments of the Internal Revenue Code, as something other than a religious organization:

(A) A currently valid determination letter from the IRS establishing that the organization is a tax-exempt organization;

(B) Documentation that establishes the religious nature and purpose of the organization, such as a copy of the organizing instrument of the organization that specifies the purposes of the organization;

(C) Organizational literature, such as books, articles, brochures, calendars, flyers and other literature describing the religious purpose and nature of the activities of the organization; and

(D) A religious denomination certification. The religious organization must complete, sign and date a religious denomination certification certifying that the petitioning organization is affiliated with the religious denomination. The certification is to be submitted by the petitioner along with the petition

In a letter in support of the Form I-360 petition, the petitioner states that it is “a charitable non-profit religious organization in the United States, which is exempt from taxation under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code as it relates to religious organizations.” A second letter from the petitioner, submitted on appeal, contains the same statement. However, the petitioner did not submit any IRS documentation of its claimed status and did not provide its “IRS Tax #” on the Form I-360 petition as requested in “Part 1.”

Furthermore, the AAO finds that the petitioner has not established that it has the ability to compensate the beneficiary. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(m)(10) states:

Evidence relating to compensation. Initial evidence must include verifiable evidence of how the petitioner intends to compensate the alien. Such compensation may include salaried or non-salaried compensation. This evidence may include past evidence of compensation for similar positions; budgets showing monies set aside for salaries, leases, etc.; verifiable documentation that room and board will be provided; or other evidence acceptable to USCIS. If IRS documentation, such as

IRS Form W-2 or certified tax returns, is available, it must be provided. If IRS documentation is not available, an explanation for its absence must be provided, along with comparable, verifiable documentation.

On the Form I-360 petition Employer Attestation, the petitioner states that the beneficiary “will be compensated” and the church “will support his housing accomodation [sic] and transportation.” No specific salary amount is given, but in a letter submitted with the petition, the petitioner again states that it will compensate the beneficiary and also provide room, board, and transportation. However, the petitioner has not submitted any IRS documentation relating to its ability to compensate the beneficiary, nor has it provided any explanation for its absence or provided any comparable, verifiable documentation regarding its finances.

The AAO may deny an application or petition that fails to comply with the technical requirements of the law even if the Service Center does not identify all of the grounds for denial in the initial decision. *See Spencer Enterprises, Inc. v. United States*, 229 F. Supp. 2d 1025, 1043 (E.D. Cal. 2001), *aff'd*, 345 F.3d 683 (9th Cir. 2003); *see also Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004) (noting that the AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis).

The petition will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, that burden has not been met. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.