

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**



C1

DATE:
SEP 27 2012

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

FILE:

IN RE: Petitioner:
 Beneficiary:

PETITION: Immigrant Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center, denied the employment-based immigrant visa petition. The Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) dismissed a subsequent appeal. The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reopen and to reconsider. The motions will be dismissed.

The motions are untimely. Under the provisions of 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i), a motion to reopen or reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen or reconsider. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.8(b) states that whenever a person is required to act within a prescribed period after the service of a notice upon him and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. The AAO issued its decision on March 5, 2012. The petitioner's motions to reopen and to reconsider were received by the service center on May 30, 2012, 86 days after the AAO issued its decision. The motions were therefore filed untimely.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a) provides that the agency may, in its discretion, accept a motion to reopen beyond this time frame if the petitioner demonstrates that the delay was reasonable and beyond his or her control. The petitioner did not allege, and submitted no evidence to establish, that its failure to file its motion to reopen within the prescribed time was beyond its control.

ORDER: The motions are dismissed.